

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

A/

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: ATLANTA, GEORGIA Date: JUN 28 2006

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Application for a Certificate of Citizenship was denied by the District Director, Atlanta, Georgia, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that on June 28, 2004, the applicant filed a Form N-600 Application for a Certificate of Citizenship. The district director found that the applicant had failed to include necessary biodata regarding her parents, including their date of naturalization. The district director found no evidence that the applicant's parents had become naturalized U.S. citizens, and also noted that the applicant became a lawful permanent resident after she turned eighteen years of age; thus, she was not eligible for a certificate of citizenship under any section of the Act. The district director concluded that the applicant had submitted the Form N-600 in error, as it appeared that the applicant meant to file a Form N-400, Application for Naturalization.

The applicant submitted a Form I-290B Notice of Appeal on which she indicated that she had filed the wrong application form, and she was sending the appeal to correct her error. The applicant did not include any other evidence on appeal; therefore, the record is complete. On her Form I-290B, the applicant did not state that the denial decision contained any error of law or fact.¹

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). As the applicant failed to present additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the district director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The applicant has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

¹ The AAO notes that conceding that she filed the wrong application is not sufficient to correct the error. The applicant must now file form N-400 with all appropriate fees and attachments.