



A2

U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

[Redacted]

Public Copy

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: Miami

Date:

MAR 13 2001

IN RE: Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

Identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, for review. The district director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This Act provides for the adjustment of status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959, and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The district director found the applicant inadmissible to the United States because he falls within the purview of section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II). The district director, therefore, concluded that the applicant was ineligible for adjustment of status and denied the application.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

Section 212(a)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(2), provides that aliens inadmissible and ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the United States include:

(A)(i) Any alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing acts which constitute the essential elements of --

(II) a violation of (or a conspiracy or attempt to violate) any law or regulation of a State, the United States, or a foreign country relating to a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. 802).

(C) Any alien who the consular officer or immigration officer knows or has reason to believe is or has been an illicit trafficker in any such controlled substance or is or has been a knowing assister, abettor, conspirator, or colluder with others in the illicit trafficking in any such controlled substance, is inadmissible.

The record reflects that the applicant was requested to appear for an interview on February 20, 2000, and to bring with him, among other documents, arrest reports and the court's final dispositions of all arrests. At the interview, the applicant signed a sworn statement that he had never been arrested. The applicant was again requested to appear for another interview on March 6, 2000. He was

requested to bring with him a police clearance and certified copies of arrests and final court dispositions of all his arrests listed in the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) report. The applicant failed to appear.

The FBI report reflects the following:

1. Arrested on July 20, 1982 by the Belle Glade Police Department, under Case No. [REDACTED] and charged with weapon offense-improper exhibition of dangerous weapon. The FBI report reflects that the applicant was convicted of this charge.

2. Arrested on January 20, 1988 by the Volusia County Sheriff's Office, under Case No. [REDACTED] and charged with possession of marijuana (over 20 grams). The FBI report reflects that on April 5, 1989, the applicant was convicted of this charge, adjudication of guilt was withheld, and he was placed on probation for a period of 4 years and assessed \$475 in court cost.

3. Arrested on October 10, 1988 by the Volusia County Sheriff's Office for failure to appear in reference to a "bond jumping" and possession of marijuana. This arrest appears to relate to paragraph 2 above.

Although the applicant failed to submit the arrest report and final court disposition of his arrests as had been requested, the Florida Department of Corrections, Presentence Investigation Report, reflects that the applicant entered a plea of nolo contendere to unlawful possession of a controlled substance (paragraph 2 above), and that the court found him guilty as charged.

The applicant is, therefore, inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act based on his conviction of possession of marijuana. There is no waiver available to an alien found inadmissible under this section except for a single offense of simple possession of thirty grams or less of marijuana. The applicant was arrested for possession of marijuana over 20 grams. The presentence investigation also reflects that the arresting officer found under the front passenger seat of the applicant's vehicle a large scale with cannabis residue on the scale and a bag containing approximately a quarter pound of a green leafy substance which was tested positive for cannabis. Accordingly, the applicant does not qualify under this exception.

The applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966. The decision of the district director to deny the application will be affirmed.

ORDER: The district director's decision is affirmed.