



AD

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: [Redacted]

Office: Miami

Date: MAR 15 2001

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

Identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, for review. The district director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This Act provides for the adjustment of status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959, and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The district director found the applicant inadmissible to the United States because he falls within the purview of section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II). The district director, therefore, concluded that the applicant was ineligible for adjustment of status and denied the application.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

Section 212(a)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(2), provides that aliens inadmissible and ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the United States include:

(A)(i) Any alien convicted of, or who admits having committed, or who admits committing acts which constitute the essential elements of --

(I) a crime involving moral turpitude (other than a purely political offense) or an attempt or conspiracy to commit such a crime, or

(II) a violation of (or a conspiracy or attempt to violate) any law or regulation of a State, the United States, or a foreign country relating to a controlled substance (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. 802).

The record reflects that on July 12, 1996, in the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Dade County, Florida, Case No. [REDACTED], the applicant was indicted for Count 1, carrying a concealed firearm; Count 2, possession of cocaine; Count 3, unlawful possession of cannabis; Count 4, possession of burglary tools; and Count 5, attempted burglary of an unoccupied conveyance. On September 16, 1996, the applicant entered a plea of guilty as to all 5 counts, he was found guilty of all charges, adjudication of guilt was withheld, he was placed on probation for a period of one

year, to serve 50 hours of community service, and assessed a total of \$255 in costs.

Burglary (with intent to commit theft) is a crime involving moral turpitude. See Matter of R-, 1 I&N Dec. 540 (BIA 1943); Matter of M-, 2 I&N Dec. 721 (BIA 1982); Matter of Leyva, 16 I&N Dec. 118 (BIA 1977); Matter of Frentescu, 18 I&N Dec. 244, 245 (BIA 1982). Likewise, possession of burglary tools is a crime involving moral turpitude if accompanied by an intent to use the tools to commit a turpitudinous offense such as larceny. Matter of Serna, 20 I&N Dec. 579 (BIA 1992); Matter of S-, 6 I&N Dec. 769 (BIA 1955). The indictment report shows that the applicant did unlawfully attempt to enter or remain in a conveyance (an automobile) without the consent of the owner or custodian, and in such attempt did unlock and/or open the door of said vehicle, with the intent to commit theft and/or other offenses. The indictment report further shows that the applicant did unlawfully possess any tools, machine, or implement (slim jim) with intent to use or allow the same to be used to commit a burglary. Because "attempts" involve the specific intent to do the substantive crime, if the substantive crime involves moral turpitude, so does the attempt to commit that crime. Matter of D-, 1 I&N Dec. 190, 194 (BIA 1942); Matter of Awaijane, 14 I&N Dec. 117 (BIA 1972).

Accordingly, attempted burglary and possession of burglary tools are crimes involving moral turpitude. The applicant is, therefore, inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Act based on his convictions of crimes involving moral turpitude.

The applicant is also inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act based on his convictions of possession of cocaine and possession of cannabis. There is no waiver available to an alien found inadmissible under these sections except for a single offense of simple possession of thirty grams or less of marijuana. The applicant does not qualify under this exception.

The applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966. The decision of the district director to deny the application will be affirmed.

ORDER: The district director's decision is affirmed.