



A2

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

RECEIVED: MAY 28 2002
MAY 28 2002
MAY 28 2002

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE: [REDACTED]

Office: Miami

Date: 28 MAY 2002

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, for review. The district director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This statute provides for the adjustment of status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959, and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The district director determined the applicant was inadmissible to the United States because he falls within the purview of section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(6)(C). The district director, therefore, concluded that the applicant was ineligible for adjustment of status and denied the application.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

Section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act states in part:

Any alien who, by fraud or willfully misrepresenting a material fact, seeks to procure (or has sought to procure or has procured) a visa, other documentation, or entry into the United States or other benefit provided under this Act is inadmissible.

The record reflects that on August 28, 1999, at Miami International Airport in Florida, a "TDF" team intercepted the applicant while deplaning and he was escorted to the Service secondary inspection after the applicant presented himself as a Spanish national and presented to the Service officer a Spanish passport belonging to another person into which his photograph had been substituted. In a sworn statement before an officer of the Service, the applicant admitted under oath that a friend in Argentina helped him obtain the Spanish passport and a plane ticket, and that he was aware the passport he presented to the Service officer was fraudulent. The applicant was detained for a hearing before an immigration judge after it was determined that he was inadmissible to the United States under the provisions of section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act.

It is concluded that the applicant is inadmissible to the United States pursuant to section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act. The applicant

was offered an opportunity to submit evidence in opposition to the district director's findings. No additional evidence has been entered into the record of proceeding. Further, the applicant is not the recipient of an approved waiver of such grounds of inadmissibility, nor is there evidence in the record that he is eligible to file for such a waiver.

It is noted for the record that on October 10, 2000, the applicant was granted asylum status in the United States pursuant to section 208(a) of the Act.

In view of the foregoing, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966. The decision of the district director to deny the application will be affirmed.

ORDER: The district director's decision is affirmed.