

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Citizenship and Immigration Services

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

AD

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536



SEP 22 2003

FILE:

Office: Texas Service Center

Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

INSTRUCTIONS: This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, who certified her decision to the Administrative Appeals Office for review. The director's decision will be withdrawn, and the application will be approved.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966. This Act provides for the adjustment of status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959, and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The director determined that the applicant was not eligible for adjustment of status because she did not make a legal entry into the United States as required. The director, therefore, denied the application.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

The application for adjustment of status, filed on April 24, 2001, shows that the applicant entered the United States near McAllen, Texas, on May 11, 1986, and that she was not inspected by an officer of the Service upon entry.

When an alien enters the United States within the limits of a city designated as a port of entry, but at a point where immigration officers are not located, the applicable charge is entry without inspection. See *Matter of O-*, 1 I&N Dec. 617 (BIA 1943); See also *Matter of Estrada-Betancourt*, 12 I&N Dec. 191 (BIA 1967); *Matter of Pierre*, 14 I&N Dec. 467 (BIA 1973).

The Record of Deportable Alien (Form I-213), issued on November 5, 1986, reflects that the applicant was apprehended by the Border Patrol while attempting to enter the United States 17 miles upriver from the Hidalgo port of entry. The applicant was detained for a hearing before an immigration judge after it was determined that she was subject to deportation pursuant to section 241(a)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1231, based on her entry into the United States without inspection. On November 19, 1986, the applicant was released from Service custody by posting a bond in the amount of \$1000. On June 6, 1988, an immigration judge granted the applicant voluntary departure without expense to the Government on or before June 6, 1989, in lieu of an order of deportation. Because the applicant failed to voluntarily depart as required, a warrant of deportation was issued on June 6, 1989. The warrant was subsequently cancelled on December 30, 1996.

On April 19, 1999, the Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, issued a memorandum setting forth the Service's policy concerning the effect of an alien's having arrived in the United States at a place other than a designated port of entry on the alien's eligibility for adjustment of status under the Cuban Adjustment Act of 1966 (CAA), 8 U.S.C. § 1255. In her memorandum, the Commissioner states that this policy does not relieve the applicant of the obligation to meet all other eligibility requirements. In particular, CAA adjustment is available only to applicants who have been "inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States." An alien who is present without inspection, therefore, is not eligible for CAA adjustment unless the alien first surrenders himself or herself into Service custody and the Service releases the alien from custody pending a final determination of his or her admissibility.

The Commissioner concluded that if the Service releases from custody an alien who is an applicant for admission because the alien is present in the United States without having been admitted, the alien has been paroled. This conclusion applies even if the Service officer who authorized the release thought there was a legal distinction between paroling an applicant for admission and releasing an applicant for admission under section 236. When the Service releases from custody an alien who is an applicant for admission because he or she is present without inspection, the Form I-94 should bear that standard annotation that shows that the alien has been paroled under section 212(d)(5)(A).

In a footnote, the Commissioner added that it may be the case that the Service has released an alien who is an applicant for admission because he or she is present without inspection, without providing the alien with a parole Form I-94. In this case, the Service will issue a parole Form I-94 upon the alien's asking for one, and satisfying the Service that the alien is the alien who was released.

The applicant, in this case, was subsequently released from Service custody pending removal proceedings. Therefore, pursuant to the Commissioner's policy, the applicant has been paroled.

The applicant is eligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966, and warrants a favorable exercise of discretion. Accordingly, the director's decision will be withdrawn, and the application will be approved.

ORDER: The director's decision is withdrawn. The application is approved.