



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of information
invasion of personal privacy



A2

FILE:  Office: MIAMI, FLORIDA Date:

IN RE: Applicant: 

FEB 06 2004

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act
of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Acting District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for review. The acting district director's decision will be withdrawn, and the application will be approved.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act (CAA) of November 2, 1966. The CAA provides, in part:

[T]he status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959 and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, may be adjusted by the Attorney General, (now the Secretary of Homeland Security, (Secretary)), in his discretion and under such regulations as he may prescribe, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien makes an application for such adjustment, and the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The acting district director determined that the applicant was not eligible for adjustment of status because he was not inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States. The acting district director, therefore, denied the application. See *Acting District Director's decision* dated July 10, 2003.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

The application for adjustment of status, filed on September 25, 2000, shows that the applicant entered the United States near El Paso, Texas on October 1, 1989, and that he was not inspected by an officer of the Service upon entry.

When an alien enters the United States within the limits of a city designated as a port of entry, but at a point where immigration officers are not located, the applicable charge is entry without inspection. See *Matter of O-*, 1 I&N Dec. 617 (BIA 1943); See also *Matter of Estrada-Betancourt*, 12 I&N Dec. 191 (BIA 1967); *Matter of Pierre*, 14 I&N Dec. 467 (BIA 1973).

The record reflects that on January 19, 1990 the applicant applied for asylum at the Miami, Florida district office and that on March 16, 1990 he appeared for an interview at the Miami district office regarding his asylum application.

On April 19, 1999, the Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, INS, issued a memorandum setting forth the Service's policy concerning the effect of an alien's having arrived in the United States at a place other than a designated port of entry on the alien's eligibility for adjustment of status under the Cuban Adjustment Act of 1966 (CAA), 8 U.S.C. § 1255. In her memorandum, the Commissioner states that this policy does not relieve the applicant of the obligation to meet all other eligibility requirements. In particular, CAA adjustment is available only to applicants who have been "inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States." An alien who is present without inspection, therefore, is not eligible for CAA adjustment unless the alien first surrenders himself or herself into Service custody and the Service releases the alien from custody pending a final determination of his or her admissibility.

The Commissioner concluded that if the Service releases from custody an alien who is an applicant for admission because the alien is present in the United States without having been admitted, the alien has been paroled. This

conclusion applies even if the Service officer who authorized the release thought there was a legal distinction between paroling an applicant for admission and releasing an applicant for admission under section 236. When the Service releases from custody an alien who is an applicant for admission because he or she is present without inspection, the Form I-94 should bear that standard annotation that shows that the alien has been paroled under section 212(d)(5)(A).

In a footnote, the Commissioner added that it may be the case that the Service has released an alien who is an applicant for admission because he or she is present without inspection, without providing the alien with a parole Form I-94. In this case, the Service will issue a parole Form I-94 upon the alien's asking for one, and satisfying the Service that the alien is the alien who was released.

The applicant, in this case, presented himself to the INS on March 16, 1990 for an asylum interview. By applying for asylum and presenting himself to the INS the applicant surrendered himself into Service custody. The applicant was subsequently released from Service custody pending a final determination of his admissibility. Therefore, pursuant to the Commissioner's policy, the applicant has been paroled.

The applicant is eligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966, and warrants a favorable exercise of discretion. Accordingly, the acting district director's decision will be withdrawn, and the application will be approved.

ORDER: The acting district director's decision is withdrawn. The application is approved.