



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

*Handwritten initials/signature*

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: MIAMI, FLORIDA

Date:

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Handwritten signature of Robert P. Wiemann*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

*Faint, illegible text or stamp*

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for review. The District Director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Cuba who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act (CAA) of November 2, 1966. The CAA provides, in part:

[T]he status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959 and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, may be adjusted by the Attorney General, (now the Secretary of Homeland Security, (Secretary)), in his discretion and under such regulations as he may prescribe, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien makes an application for such adjustment, and the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence.

The District Director found the applicant inadmissible to the United States because he falls within the purview of section 212(a)(2)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(C). The District Director, therefore, concluded that the applicant was ineligible for adjustment of status and denied the application accordingly. *See District Director's Decision* dated May 5, 2004.

On notice of certification, the applicant was offered an opportunity to submit evidence in opposition to the District Director's findings. No additional evidence has been entered into the record.

Section 212(a)(2) of the Act states in pertinent part, that:

(C) Controlled substance traffickers.-

any aliens who the consular officer of the Attorney General knows or has reasons to believe-

(i) is or has been an illicit trafficker in any controlled substance or in any listed chemical (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)), or is or has been a knowing aider, abettor, assister, conspirator, or colluder with others in the illicit trafficking in any such controlled or listed substance or chemical, or endeavored to do so; or.....is inadmissible.

The record reflects on February 26, 1998, the applicant was arrested and charged with conspiracy and possession with intent to distribute cocaine and importation of cocaine. The record further reflects that on December 29, 1995, the applicant was one of seven crewmembers aboard a freight that attempted to smuggle over 3,700 pounds of cocaine.

Although the applicant was not convicted of the charges filed against him the District Director concluded that there is sufficient reason to believe that the applicant has been involved in the trafficking of a controlled substance and found him excludable under section 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

The applicant is inadmissible to the United States, pursuant to section 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act. There is no waiver available to an alien found inadmissible under section 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

The applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence, pursuant to section 1 of the CAA of November 2, 1966. The decision of the District Director to deny the application will be affirmed.

**ORDER:** The District Director's decision is affirmed.