

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

B1



FILE:



Office: MIAMI DISTRICT OFFICE

Date:

OCT 29 2007

SRC 02 154 50547

IN RE:


Petitioner:



Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was initially approved by the Director, Texas Service Center. Upon further review of the record, the Acting District Director, Miami District Office determined that the beneficiary was not eligible for the benefit sought and revoked the approval of the petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner, an import/export firm, sought to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as its president. Accordingly, it sought to classify the beneficiary as a multinational executive or manager pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C).

The petition was approved by the Director at the Texas Service Center on March 13, 2003.

Upon further review of Florida public records pertinent to the administrative dissolution of corporations, on May 8, 2006, the Acting District Director at the Miami District Office automatically revoked the approval of the visa petition pursuant to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 205.1(a), which provides in pertinent part:

(iii)(D) Upon termination of the employer's business in an employment-based preference case under section 203(b)(1)(B), 203(b)(1)(C), 203(b)(2), or 203(b)(3) of the Act.

The petitioner filed an appeal. However, the AAO exercises appellate jurisdiction over the revocation of an approval of a petition filed under 8 C.F.R. § 205.2, not under the automatic revocation provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 205.1. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii)(D) (2003). Accordingly, the appeal will be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.