

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwanted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B 2



FILE: EAC 02 258 50219 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: JUN 13 2005

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as an Alien of Extraordinary Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The petitioner seeks classification as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A), as an alien of extraordinary ability in the sciences. The director determined the petitioner had not established that she qualifies for classification as an alien of extraordinary ability.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(h) explains that when the last day of a period falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the period shall run until the end of the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on August 6, 2004. It is noted that the director's notice of denial properly gave notice to the petitioner that she had 33 days to file the appeal.¹ The appeal was received by Citizenship and Immigration Services on September 9, 2004, or 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

¹ The Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, provided to the petitioner by the service center indicated that her appeal would be rejected as untimely if it was not "received at a Service office on or before September 9, 2004." The Form I-290B should have indicated that that the petitioner had until "September 8, 2004" to file a timely appeal. This error by the service center, however, does not supercede the regulations, which in this instance require the appeal to be filed within 33 days.