



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY,

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



B2

FILE:



Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: DEC 18 2006

EAC 05 063 52899

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as an Alien of Extraordinary Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A), as an alien of extraordinary ability. The director determined the petitioner had not established the sustained national or international acclaim necessary to qualify for classification as an alien of extraordinary ability.

Section 203(b) of the Act states, in pertinent part, that:

(1) Priority Workers. -- Visas shall first be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are aliens described in any of the following subparagraphs (A) through (C):

(A) Aliens with Extraordinary Ability. -- An alien is described in this subparagraph if --

(i) the alien has extraordinary ability in the sciences, arts, education, business, or athletics which has been demonstrated by sustained national or international acclaim and whose achievements have been recognized in the field through extensive documentation,

(ii) the alien seeks to enter the United States to continue work in the area of extraordinary ability, and

(iii) the alien's entry to the United States will substantially benefit prospectively the United States.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) and legacy Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) have consistently recognized that Congress intended to set a very high standard for individuals seeking immigrant visas as aliens of extraordinary ability. *See* 56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60898-9 (November 29, 1991). As used in this section, the term "extraordinary ability" means a level of expertise indicating that the individual is one of that small percentage who have risen to the very top of the field of endeavor. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(2). The specific requirements for supporting documents to establish that an alien has sustained national or international acclaim and recognition in his or her field of expertise are set forth in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3). The relevant criteria will be addressed below. It should be reiterated, however, that the petitioner must show that he has earned sustained national or international acclaim at the very top level.

This petition, filed on December 22, 2004, seeks to classify the petitioner as an alien with extraordinary ability as a chef.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3) indicates that an alien can establish sustained national or international acclaim through evidence of a one-time achievement (that is, a major, international recognized award). Barring the alien's receipt of such an award, the regulation outlines ten criteria, at least three of which must be satisfied for an alien to establish the sustained acclaim necessary to qualify as an alien of extraordinary ability. The petitioner has submitted evidence pertaining to the following criteria.

Documentation of the alien's receipt of lesser nationally or internationally recognized prizes or awards for excellence in the field of endeavor.

In response to the director's request for evidence, the petitioner submitted two award certificates issued by the Fuzhou City Cooks Association stating that he won the first prize at the 1998 and 2000 "City Cooking Art Competition." These awards reflect local recognition rather than national or international recognition.

The petitioner also submitted a 4 inch by 6 inch color photograph of an "Award Cup" which the petitioner identifies as "the First Prize of 'Min Hua' Cup Cooking Competition." The petitioner's response also included three larger photocopied images of what appear to be the same Award Cup.¹ The record includes no information regarding the scope of this competition or the significance of this award. Further, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3), any document containing foreign language submitted to CIS shall be accompanied by a full English language translation that the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English. The English language translation of the Chinese inscription appearing on the Award Cup was not certified as required by the regulation.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a "Certificate of Award" stating that he won first prize at the "Seventh Chinese-Japanese New Cooks Craftsmanship Competition" in 2002. The record includes no information regarding the scope of this competition or the significance of this award.

In regard to the preceding first prize awards won by the petitioner, there is no evidence of contemporaneous publicity surrounding these awards or evidence showing that they command a substantial level of recognition. Further, the record includes no evidence that would demonstrate the number of recipients, the criteria for granting the awards, the level of expertise of those considered, and the number of individuals eligible to compete. We note here that section 203(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act requires extensive documentation of sustained national or international acclaim. Pursuant to the statute, the petitioner must provide adequate evidence showing that the awards presented under this criterion enjoy significant national or international stature. In this case, there is no supporting documentation from the awarding entities or print media to establish that the petitioner's awards are nationally or internationally recognized.

In light of the above, the petitioner has not established that he meets this criterion.

Documentation of the alien's membership in associations in the field for which classification is sought, which require outstanding achievements of their members, as judged by recognized national or international experts in their disciplines or fields.

In order to demonstrate that membership in an association meets this criterion, the petitioner must show that the association requires outstanding achievement as an essential condition for admission to membership. Membership requirements based on employment or activity in a given field, minimum education or experience, standardized test scores, grade point average, recommendations by colleagues or current

¹ Unlike the color photograph, the photocopied images were not accompanied by a written explanation identifying the name of the prize shown.

members, or payment of dues, do not satisfy this criterion as such requirements do not constitute outstanding achievements. In addition, it is clear from the regulatory language that members must be selected at the national or international level, rather than the local or regional level. Therefore, membership in an association that evaluates its membership applications at the local or regional chapter level would not qualify. Finally, the overall prestige of a given association is not determinative; the issue here is membership requirements rather than the association's overall reputation.

In response to the director's request for evidence, the petitioner submitted his "Employment Qualifications Certificate" issued to him by the "Fuzhou City Labor and Social Security Bureau" in August 1999 for passing a standardized examination for a level one Chinese Cook. We do not find, however, that a earning an Employment Qualifications Certificate issued by a local government is tantamount to membership in an association requiring outstanding achievement. There is no evidence demonstrating that obtaining this credential required outstanding achievement in the culinary arts or that the petitioner was evaluated by national or international experts in order to receive his credential. Thus, the petitioner has not established that he meets this criterion.

Evidence of the alien's authorship of scholarly articles in the field, in professional or major trade publications or other major media.

In response to the director's request for evidence, the petitioner submitted a photocopy of what is alleged to be the front and back cover of his book entitled *Original Pot-Stewed Fowl*. The record, however, includes no evidence of circulation data for this book including the geographic areas where the publication was available and the number of copies sold. Without evidence showing that this book had substantial national or international readership, we cannot conclude that it qualifies as a professional or major trade publication or other major media. Nor is there evidence showing that this book received favorable critical reviews or praise from recognized experts in the greater culinary community. Thus, the petitioner has not established that he meets this criterion.

In this case, we concur with the director's finding that the petitioner failed to demonstrate his receipt of a major internationally recognized award, or that he meets at least three of the criteria that must be satisfied to establish the sustained acclaim necessary to qualify as an alien of extraordinary ability.

Review of the record does not establish that the petitioner has distinguished himself to such an extent that he may be said to have achieved sustained national or international acclaim or to be within the small percentage at the very top of his field. The evidence is not persuasive that the petitioner's achievements set him significantly above almost all others in his field at the national or international level. Therefore, the petitioner has not established eligibility pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Act and the petition may not be approved.

Beyond the decision of the director, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(5) requires "clear evidence that the alien is coming to the United States to continue work in the area of expertise. Such evidence may include letter(s) from prospective employer(s), evidence of prearranged commitments such as contracts, or a statement from the beneficiary detailing plans on how he or she intends to continue his or her work in the United States." The record includes no such evidence.

An application or petition that fails to comply with the technical requirements of the law may be denied by the AAO even if the Service Center does not identify all of the grounds for denial in the initial decision. *See Spencer Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 229 F. Supp. 2d 1025, 1043 (E.D. Cal. 2001), *aff'd*. 345 F.3d 683 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Dor v. INS*, 891 F.2d 997, 1002 n. 9 (2d Cir. 1989)(noting that the AAO reviews appeals on a *de novo* basis).

The petition will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.