



U.S. Department of Justice  
Immigration and Naturalization Service

B4

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536

[REDACTED]

**PUBLIC COPY**

JAN 30 2007

File: WAC 98 193 53555

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(1)(C)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

identification data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

Mary C. Mulrean, Acting Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The petition will be remanded to the director for further consideration pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2).

The petitioner is a California corporation that claims to be engaged in international trade. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as its general manager and, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a multinational executive or manager pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(1)(C).

The director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary is currently and will continue to be employed in a primarily executive or managerial capacity.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(2)(i), an affected party has 30 days after service of a decision to file an appeal with the office that made the unfavorable decision. The record reflects that the director's decision of June 29, 1999, was sent to the petitioner and to counsel at their addresses of record. The appeal was received by the Service 45 days later on August 13, 1999. The appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1) states that an appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. 8 C.F.R. 103.2(a)(2)(v)(B)(2), however, states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(2) or a motion to reconsider as described in 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(3), the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceedings, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion to reconsider state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy. A motion to reconsider a decision must also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief, which satisfies the requirements of a motion. Therefore, this case will be remanded to the director to treat the appeal as a motion. The director may request any additional evidence deemed necessary to assist her with her determination. As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361.

**ORDER:** The petition is remanded to the director for entry of a new decision in accordance with the foregoing.