



PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Justice
**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy** Immigration and Naturalization Service

B4

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: WAC 01 185 57150 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: FEB 27 2003

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner claims to operate a health care and mini mart. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as its import and export general manager. Accordingly, it endeavors to classify the beneficiary as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C), as a multinational executive or manager. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary would be employed in a managerial or executive capacity for the United States petitioner. The director also determined that the petitioner had not sufficiently established a qualifying relationship with a foreign affiliate.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, filed on March 28, 2002, the petitioner indicated that it needed an additional 180 days to submit a brief and/or evidence. The petitioner did not explain the necessity for the length of time requested in a separate letter but did note on the appeal form the following:

Based on the evidence submitted, we believe we have an approvable petition. Moreover, additional documentary evidences [sic] are forthcoming from abroad to bolster our petition. We are also processing and securing additional evidences [sic] in U.S.

To date, no brief or additional evidence has been received. As no additional information has been provided in support of the appeal, the record is considered complete.

The petitioner does not specifically identify any errors in law or fact made by the Service in reaching its decision. Inasmuch as the petitioner does not identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.