

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Citizenship and Immigration Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of information
relating to the security of the
United States

B4

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536



NOV 10 2003

FILE: EAC 01 183 54611 OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER DATE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director of the Vermont Service Center denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The petitioner is a hotel in the U.S. Virgin Islands that seeks to employ the beneficiary as its manager. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a multinational executive or manager pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C).

The director denied the petition because: (1) a foreign entity that has a qualifying relationship with the petitioner does not exist; (2) a qualifying foreign entity did not employ the beneficiary in a managerial or executive capacity for at least one year in the three years immediately preceding her entry as a nonimmigrant; and (3) the proffered position is not in an executive or managerial capacity.

The petitioner submitted a timely Form I-290B on May 14, 2002, indicating that a separate brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the Administrative Appeals Office within 30 days. As of this date, however, the record does not contain a brief or any additional evidence. Therefore, the Administrative Appeals Office considers the record complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss an appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B, the petitioner informs the director that it misplaced documents relating to the petition and asks the director to resend a copy of the director's request for evidence. The petitioner fails to specify how the director made an erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact when denying the petition. As the petitioner fails to present additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.