

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

B4

PUBLIC COPY



File: [Redacted]
SRC 04 243 51671


Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date:

AUG 18 2006

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]


Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition and a subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file a motion, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) provides that the affected party must file the motion within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The failure to file before this period expires may be excused at the discretion of the AAO where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the petitioner. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

In accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i), an application received in a CIS office shall be stamped to show the time and date of actual receipt, if it is properly signed, executed, and accompanied by the correct fee. For calculating the date of filing, the motion shall be regarded as properly filed on the date that it is so stamped by the service center or district office.

The last decision of the AAO was issued on September 28, 2005. The motion was filed on December 22, 2005, more than eighty-five days after the AAO decision was issued.

On motion, the petitioner has not requested that the failure to file the motion within the 30-day time period be excused. The petitioner does not assert that the delay in filing the motion was reasonable, and beyond the control of the applicant.

As a matter of discretion, the petitioner's failure to file the motion within the period allowed will not be excused as either reasonable or beyond the control of the applicant. Accordingly, the motion will be rejected as untimely filed.

ORDER: The motion is rejected as untimely filed.