

identifying data deleted to  
prevent disclosure / unauthorized  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

DUPLICATE COPY

By

FILE: [REDACTED]  
LIN 07 015 50965

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: DEC 01 2008

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Multinational Executive or Manager Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

  
John F. Grissom, Acting Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner claims to be a California corporation seeking to employ the beneficiary as its general manager. Accordingly, the petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(C) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(C), as a multinational executive or manager. The director denied the petition based on the determination that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary would be employed in a managerial or executive capacity.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the beneficiary directs the management of the petitioning entity and is ultimately responsible for determining how the petitioner will meet its target goals. Counsel further stated that a brief and/or additional information would be submitted within 30 days in support of the appeal. On November 4, 2008, the AAO reviewed the record of proceeding and found that no additional evidence or information had been submitted since the appeal was filed on February 11, 2008. Accordingly, the AAO faxed the petitioner's counsel of record a notice allowing an additional five days in which to provide a brief and/or any information *if* the petitioner had previously submitted such information. The AAO clearly stated that this was not meant to allow the petitioner additional time in which to provide new information that had not been previously submitted. Rather, this was merely an attempt to allow the petitioner to provide information that may have been submitted but was never matched with the record of proceeding. To date, however, the petitioner has not responded to the AAO's facsimile. Accordingly, the record will be considered complete as currently constituted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Inasmuch as counsel has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the petitioner has not sustained that burden. Therefore, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.