



65

U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

Public Copy



JUN 4 2001

File: [Redacted] Office: California Service Center

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]

Petition: Immigrant Petition by Alien Entrepreneur Pursuant to § 203(b)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(5)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The approved preference immigrant visa petition was revoked by the Director, California Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The case will be remanded for further consideration and action.

The director denied the petition on August 25, 1999, stating that the petitioner failed to respond to the director's notice of intent to revoke.

8 C.F.R. 103.2(15) provides that a denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but a petitioner may file a motion to reopen (as the director advised the petitioner in the denial notice). Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(ii), jurisdiction over a motion resides in the official who made the latest decision in the proceeding. Therefore, given the grounds for revocation, the petitioner has no right of appeal in this matter, and the Administrative Appeals Unit has no jurisdiction in this proceeding. We remand this matter to the director for a decision pursuant to the regulations governing motions to reopen.

While the director also briefly addressed the merits of the case in her final decision, arguably giving this office jurisdiction, the record contains a substantial response to the notice of intent to revoke which was not considered by the director. Thus, even if we were to assume jurisdiction, we would need to remand the matter to the director for consideration of the petitioner's response.

ORDER: The case is remanded to the director for further action in accordance with the foregoing. In the event that a new decision is rendered which is adverse to the petitioner, the decision is to be certified to the Associate Commissioner for Examinations for review.