



B5

U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

File: [redacted] Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: AUG 27 2002

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary: [redacted]

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(2)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:


This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(2), as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree. The petitioner asserts that an exemption from the requirement of a job offer, and thus of a labor certification, is in the national interest of the United States. The director found that the beneficiary qualifies for classification as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree, but that the petitioner had not established that an exemption from the requirement of a job offer would be in the national interest of the United States.

Review of Service records indicates that, subsequent to the filing of the instant petition (but prior to the denial of this petition), the petitioner filed another Form I-140 petition seeking a higher priority immigrant classification on the beneficiary's behalf. Service records further indicate that the second petition was approved. The alien subsequently filed a Form I-485 Application to Adjust Status, which is currently pending. Because the alien has obtained the only benefit that this office could provide (i.e., an approved immigrant petition), further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot. The two petitions involve different immigrant classifications and the outcome of the beneficiary's adjustment application is not contingent on the outcome of the present petition. The rapid disposition of this matter will also expedite the consolidation of the alien beneficiary's file, thereby reducing the possibility of delay arising from the maintenance of separate records of proceeding. Of course, the petitioner retains the right to file a motion if the petitioner wishes to demonstrate that any practical purpose would be served by further adjudication of the present petition.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed, based on the approval of a higher-priority immigrant petition.