B5

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

[redacted]

File: [redacted] Office: Nebraska Service Center Date: 6 - MAR 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary: [redacted]

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(2)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[redacted]

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:
This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of $110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center. The Associate Commissioner, Examinations, dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner on a motion to reopen. The motion will be granted, the previous decision of the Associate Commissioner will be affirmed and the petition will be denied.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(2), as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree. The petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary as an instructor/instructional technologist. The petitioner asserts that an exemption from the requirement of a job offer, and thus of a labor certification, is in the national interest of the United States. The director found that the beneficiary qualifies for classification as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree, but that the petitioner had not established that an exemption from the requirement of a job offer would be in the national interest of the United States.

On December 21, 1999, the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO), on behalf of the Associate Commissioner, dismissed the appeal on its merits, noting that the record did not contain the additional evidence or brief that counsel asserted he would submit in 30 days.

On motion, counsel asserts that he did submit a brief within the 30-day period requested. He submits a letter from Federal Express confirming that they delivered a letter to the AAO on June 17, 1999. He requests that the AAO reopen the matter for consideration of the brief. The brief submitted on motion, however, does not address the merits of the petition.

The letter from Federal Express indicates that counsel submitted a brief which was never incorporated into the record. In such a situation, a motion requesting consideration of the brief is appropriate. As counsel failed to submit a copy of the missing brief, or another brief which addresses the merits of the petition, however, there is no new information on motion for us to consider.

A motion must meet the regulatory requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider at the time it is filed; no provision exists for the Service to grant an extension in order to await future correspondence that may or may not include evidence or arguments.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the previous decision of the Associate Commissioner will be affirmed, and the petition will be denied.

ORDER: The Associate Commissioner's decision of December 21, 1999 is affirmed. The petition is denied.