



BLO

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Immigration date deferred to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal liberty

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: [Redacted] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: 24 MAY 2002

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(3)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[Redacted]

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a ranch. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as an agricultural worker. The director determined that the proffered position is not one requiring the services of a skilled worker.

On appeal, counsel submits a statement and indicates that a separate brief and/or evidence is being submitted within thirty days. To date, however, no further documentation has been received. Therefore, a decision will be made based on the record as it is presently constituted.

Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(3), provides for the granting of preference classification to qualified immigrants who are capable, at the time of petitioning for classification under this paragraph, of performing skilled or unskilled labor, not of a temporary or seasonal nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(1)(3) states, in pertinent part:

(ii) *Other documentation* -- (A) *General.* Any requirements of training or experience for skilled workers, professionals, or other workers must be supported by letters from trainers or employers giving the name, address, and title of the trainer or employer, and a description of the training received or the experience of the alien.

(B) *Skilled workers.* If the petition is for a skilled worker, the petition must be accompanied by evidence that the alien meets the educational, training or experience, and any other requirements of the individual labor certification, meets the requirements for Schedule A designation, or meets the requirements for the Labor Market Information Pilot Program occupational designation. The minimum requirements for this classification are at least two years of training or experience.

The Application for Alien Employment Certification (Form ETA 750), indicated that the minimum educational, training or experience

requirements for the job offered is one year of experience in the job offered. The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not established that the position required the services of a skilled worker.

On appeal, counsel states:

When the application for Alien Employment Certification was completed, [REDACTED] was not represented by legal counsel. When the application information was completed the job representation was described by requiring only one year of experience, when in fact the job does require more experience than one year and the applicant has more than one year of experience. We are appealing this decision on the basis that this error was clerical rather than substantive and the petition should be granted.

The application for alien employment certification must clearly indicate that two years of training or experience are required of entrants to the position. 8 C.F.R. 204.5(l)(4). The critical element is block #14 on the job offer portion of the application for alien employment certification. The employer must show that at least two years of training or experience are required for the job in this block.

The determination of whether a worker is a skilled worker or other worker will be based on the requirements of training and/or experience placed on the job by the prospective employer, as certified by the Department of Labor. 8 C.F.R. 204.5(l)(4). Based on the above-cited regulations governing classification as a skilled worker pursuant to section 203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act, the proffered position is not one which requires the services of a skilled worker.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.