



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Bo

[Redacted]

FILE: SRC 02 050 53385 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

JUN 15 2004
Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann
for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary as an employment based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3) as a skilled worker. The petitioner is a medical staffing firm. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a quality assurance coordinator. As required by statute, the petition is accompanied by certification from the Department of Labor. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that it had the continuing financial ability to pay the proffered wage as of the visa priority date.

On appeal, counsel merely stated that he would submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 60 days and would explain the reason for the appeal in the brief.

The appeal was filed on February 18, 2003. As of this date, more than 15 months later, the AAO has received nothing further.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Counsel here has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. He has not even expressed disagreement with the director's decision. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.