



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
disclosure of personal information
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: WAC 01 283 51630 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **JUL 21 2005**

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) affirmed the director's decision. The motion to reopen the AAO decision will be rejected as untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party, in order to properly file an appeal, must file the complete appeal within 30 days after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.¹ The record indicates that the AAO issued the decision on March 31, 2004. The director properly gave notice to the petitioner that it had 30 days to file the appeal. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) received the appeal on May 5, 2004, 36 days after the decision was issued. The appeal, therefore, was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

¹ 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3) specifies that a petitioner may be represented “by an attorney in the United States, as defined in § 1.1(f) of this chapter, by an attorney outside the United States as defined in § 292.1(a)(6) of this chapter, or by an accredited representative as defined in § 292.1(a)(4) of this chapter.” In this case, the person listed on the FORM I-290B is not an authorized representative. The decision will be sent to the petitioner above noted.