

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

**PUBLIC COPY**



B 6

FILE: EAC 02 278 53120 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER


Date: JUN 07 2003

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party, in order to properly file an appeal, must file the complete appeal within 30 days after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed. The record indicates that the director issued the decision on October 1, 2003. The director of Vermont Service Center properly gave notice to petitioner's counsel that the petitioner had 33 days to file the appeal. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) received the appeal on December 11, 2003, 72 days after the decision was issued. The appeal, therefore, was untimely filed.<sup>1</sup>

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.

06/02/2005/aao01brs/ EAC 02 278 53120.EB3

---

<sup>1</sup> Had counsel filed the appeal in time, it would still be summarily dismissed since the petitioner failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusions of law or statement of fact for the appeal.