

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



B6

FILE: WAC 02 289 54483 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: MAR 16 2005

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]


PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner, a dog boarding and training firm, seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as an instructor-trainer and animal behaviorist. As required by statute, a Form ETA 750, Application for Alien Employment Certification approved by the Department of Labor, accompanied the petition.

The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the alien beneficiary possessed the work experience required by the certified position and denied the petition accordingly.

On appeal, it is merely asserted that the applicant's evidence of work experience met his burden of proof.

The notice of appeal indicates that an additional thirty days was needed to submit a brief and/or additional evidence to the AAO. As of this date, almost twenty-one months later, nothing further has been received to the record.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

A bare assertion that the evidence is sufficient to meet the petitioner's burden of proof, without more, does not sufficiently identify a specific conclusion of law or statement of fact upon which a substantive appeal may be filed. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.