

**Removing date stamps to  
prevent identity information  
release of personal history**

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass, N.W. Rm. A3042  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

B6




FILE: EAC 04 080 51121 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: FEB 03 2006

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a gas station/convenience store. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a manager, automobile service station. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that it had the continuing ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered wage beginning on the priority date of the visa petition and denied the petition accordingly.

Counsel submitted a Form I-290B appeal in this matter. In the section reserved for the basis of the appeal, counsel asserted, " Additional evidence that should have been submitted by prior attorney will be submitted within 30 days.." Counsel stated that she was sending a brief and/or additional evidence within 30 days of filing the appeal which was September 3, 2004. Despite a request by the AAO, no additional evidence was submitted.

Counsel's statement on appeal contains no specific assignment of error. Alleging that the director erred in some unspecified way is an insufficient basis for an appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part: "An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal."

Counsel has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal and the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.