

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B6



File: EAC-04-157-53117 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: NOV 09 2006

In re: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3) as a skilled worker. The director determined that the petitioner could not demonstrate its ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered wage from the priority date until the beneficiary obtains permanent residence.

On appeal, the petitioner provided, "We wish to submit evidence that we do have the ability to pay and to have the Administrative Appeals Unit reconsider the denial. We will submit a brief within 30 days and supporting documentation."

The appeal was filed on May 18, 2005. As of this date, more than seventeen months after filing the appeal, the AAO has received nothing further.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The petitioner here has not addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence to identify the specific erroneous conclusion of law. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.