



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

B6

FEB 01 2007

FILE:

EAC 03 013 51680

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was initially approved by the Director, Vermont Service Center. On further review of the record, the director determined that the beneficiary was not eligible for the benefit sought. The director served the petitioner with notice of intent to revoke the approval of the preference visa petition. The director subsequently revoked approval of the petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is a construction company. It sought to permanently employ the beneficiary in the United States as a carpenter.

The record indicates that the Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker (I-140) was initially approved on April 10, 2003. The director subsequently concluded that the I-140 was approved in error and notified the petitioner of his intent to revoke the petition on March 24, 2005. The petitioner failed to respond. The director revoked the petition's approval on July 21, 2005, pursuant to section 205 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1155.

The petitioner filed an appeal. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 205.2(d) provides that a petitioner "may appeal the decision to revoke the approval within 15 days after the service of notice of the revocation." Three additional days are provided if the notification of revocation was mailed. If the last day of the designated period falls on a Saturday, Sunday or a legal holiday, the period will run until the end of the next day, which is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(h).

In this case, 18 days from the date of the director's decision to revoke the petition's approval fell on Monday, August 8, 2005. The record shows that the notice of appeal was not received until August 23, 2005. An untimely appeal shall be rejected as improperly filed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

Accordingly, the petitioner's appeal is rejected as untimely filed.

ORDER: The petitioner's appeal is rejected.