



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



*B6*

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUN 21 2007  
WAC-04-091-52657

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  
[REDACTED]

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is again before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be rejected as untimely filed.

An affected party has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file a motion to reopen or reconsider a proceeding before Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i). If the adverse decision was served by mail, an additional three days is added to the proscribed period. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). Any motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

The petitioner's motion does not meet applicable requirements because it was not timely filed. The record indicates that the director of the AAO issued the decision on December 19, 2005. Although counsel dated his motion to reopen January 18, 2006, it was received by CIS on Thursday, January 26, 2006, or 38 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the motion was untimely filed. Neither counsel nor the petitioner presents any evidence for CIS to consider regarding the delay in timely filing the motion. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i). Accordingly, the motion will be dismissed.

As always, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The motion is dismissed.