



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

B7

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JAN 04 2008
WAC 02 025 55595

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]


PETITION: Immigrant Petition by Alien Entrepreneur Pursuant to Section 203(b)(5) of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(5)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification as an alien entrepreneur pursuant to section 203(b)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(5).

On appeal, counsel merely stated that she would submit a brief and/or evidence to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) within 90 days.

Counsel dated the appeal September 5, 2003. As of December 4, 2007, more than four years later, the AAO had received nothing further. Thus, on that date, this office contacted counsel by facsimile, advising that we had received no additional materials, inquiring as to whether anything had been submitted and requesting a copy of any additional materials submitted. The facsimile advised that failure to respond to our inquiry within five business days may result in the summary dismissal of the appeal. As of this date, approximately four weeks later, this office has received no response.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Counsel here has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. She has not even expressed disagreement with the director's decision. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.