

B9

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
[REDACTED] acy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536

FILE: [REDACTED]
EAC 02 056 50582

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: MAY 16 2003

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

PUBLIC COPY


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.



Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Brazil who is seeking classification as a special immigrant, pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as the battered spouse of a United States citizen.

The director determined that the petitioner failed to establish that she: (1) is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States; and (2) is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A), 8 U.S.C. § 1151(b)(2)(A)(i) or § 1153(a)(2)(A), based on that relationship. The director, therefore, denied the petition.

On appeal, the petitioner states that in June of 1999, she filed for divorce from her ex-husband [REDACTED] in Brazil. She subsequently took a trip to the United States where she met her present husband [REDACTED], and they were married on March 13, 2001. The petitioner claims that before she and Mr. [REDACTED] finalized the wedding, she called Mr. [REDACTED] to inquire about their divorce, and he stated that it was finalized in February 2000.

8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

(i) A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:

(A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;

(B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;

(C) Is residing in the United States;

(D) Has resided in the United States with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;

(E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen

or lawful permanent resident during the marriage;

(F) Is a person of good moral character;

(G) Is a person whose deportation (removal) would result in extreme hardship to himself, herself, or his or her child; and

(H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The record reflects that the petitioner entered the United States as a visitor on June 20, 2000. The petitioner married her United States citizen spouse on March 13, 2001 at Orlando, Florida. On December 3, 2001, a self-petition was filed by the petitioner claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, her U.S. citizen spouse during their marriage.

8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i)(A) provides that the petitioner must be the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States. 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i)(B) provides that the self-petitioning spouse must establish that she is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship.

8 C.F.R. 204.2(c)(1)(ii) provides that the self-petitioner must be legally married to the abuser when the petition is properly filed with the Service. 8 C.F.R. 204.2(c)(2)(ii) provides that a self-petition must be accompanied by evidence of the relationship. Primary evidence of the marital relationship is a marriage certificate issued by civil authorities, and proof of the termination of all prior marriages of both the self-petitioner and the alleged abuser.

The record reflects that in response to the director's requests on March 28, 2002, and again on June 18, 2002, to submit proof of the legal termination of the petitioner's marriage to her former spouse (Mr. [REDACTED] the petitioner provided a copy of the legal termination of the marriage reflecting that her divorce from Mr. [REDACTED] occurred on July 18, 2001, and that it was registered on August 15, 2001.

As determined by the director, the petitioner was not divorced from her former spouse at the time she married Mr. [REDACTED] on March 13, 2001. Therefore, the petitioner was not free to marry Mr. [REDACTED] at that time, and her marriage cannot be deemed valid for immigration purposes. A prior marriage not legally terminated is a bar to consideration of the marriage upon which the visa petition is based. See *Matter of Brantigan*, 11 I&N Dec. 493 (BIA 1966).

Accordingly, the petitioner is ineligible for the benefit sought, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(ii). She, therefore, has failed to overcome the director's findings, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i)(A) and (B).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.