

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Room A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B9



FILE:

[Redacted]
EAC 03 190 50486

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: APR 05 2005

IN RE:

Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

S Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Acting Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the preference visa petition on August 23, 2004. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Colombia who is seeking classification as a special immigrant pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as the battered spouse of a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that she had entered into the marriage to the citizen in good faith.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a progress report dated July 9, 2004 from a certified psychotherapist and resubmits a police clearance.

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that an alien who is the spouse of a United States citizen, who is a person of good moral character, who is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative, and who has resided with his or her spouse, may self-petition for immigrant classification if the alien demonstrates to the Attorney General that—

(aa) the marriage or the intent to marry the United States citizen was entered into in good faith by the alien; and

(bb) during the marriage or relationship intended by the alien to be legally a marriage, the alien or a child of the alien has been battered or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien's spouse or intended spouse.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i) states, in pertinent part, that:

A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:

(A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;

(B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;

(C) Is residing in the United States;

(D) Has resided . . . with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;

(E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage;

(F) Is a person of good moral character; [and]

* * *

(H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(ix) states, in part:

Good faith marriage. A spousal self-petition cannot be approved if the self-petitioner entered into the marriage to the abuser for the primary purpose of circumventing the immigration laws.

The record reflects that the petitioner wed United States citizen [REDACTED] November 19, 2001 in Bronx, New York. The petitioner's spouse filed a Form I-130 petition on the petitioner's behalf on March 22, 2002. The petitioner filed a Form I-485 concurrently with the Form I-130. On June 11, 2003, the petitioner filed a self-petition claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, her U.S. citizen spouse during their marriage.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i) requires the petitioner to show that she has resided with her citizen spouse, is a person of good moral character; and entered into the marriage to the citizen in good faith.

Because the petitioner furnished insufficient evidence to establish that she had resided with her spouse, had been abused by, or the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, her citizen spouse, is a person of good moral character and entered into the marriage in good faith, the director asked her to submit additional evidence (RFE). The director listed evidence the petitioner could submit to establish battery or extreme mental cruelty, that she had resided with her spouse, that she married her spouse in good faith, and that she is a person of good moral character.

The director, in her decision, reviewed and discussed the evidence furnished by the petitioner, including evidence furnished in response to her request for additional evidence. The discussion will not be repeated here. The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner had failed to establish that she had entered into the marriage in good faith.

On appeal, the petitioner "resubmits" a previously provided police clearance and submits a progress report from a certified psychotherapist. The petitioner submitted no evidence on appeal regarding the bona fides of her marriage.

The director determined and the AAO concurs that the petitioner failed to establish that she had entered into the marriage in good faith, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(i)(H). In a request for additional evidence, the director listed the types of evidence that would show that the petitioner had married her husband in good faith. It is noted that the petitioner failed to submit insurance policies in which she or her spouse is named as the beneficiary. She failed to submit bank statements, tax records or other financial documents that show she shared accounts and other responsibilities with her spouse. She failed to submit evidence of her courtship and wedding ceremony, apart from a marriage certificate. She failed to submit evidence of joint ownership of property. No

children were born of the marriage. The evidence on the record is insufficient to establish that the petitioner married her citizen spouse in good faith.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.