

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

B9

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUL 06 2005

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Acting Director (Director), Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part, “[a]n officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.”

On the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, filed on October 6, 2004, counsel for the petitioner listed the following reason for the appeal: “Will submit proof of relationship.”

Despite counsel’s claim that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted on appeal, to date, more than eight months after the filing of the appeal, the record contains no further submission. We, therefore, consider the record to be complete as it now stands.

Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law of statement or fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.