



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

B9

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted]  
EAC 06 156 50360

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: **APR 29 2006**

IN RE: Petitioner:

[Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(B)(ii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part, “[a]n officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.”

The director denied the petition on January 5, 2007, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that she had a qualifying relationship as the spouse of a lawful permanent resident of the United States and that she was eligible for classification based upon that relationship. On the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, the petitioner did not allege any error of law or fact on the part of the director but requested additional time to submit further evidence. To date, however, no further evidence or brief has been submitted in support of the appeal.

Accordingly, as the petitioner has failed to specifically identify an erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact, the regulation mandates the summary dismissal of the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.