



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

01

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
ULLB, 3rd Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC-99-055-51829

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

JAN 29 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



**PUBLIC COPY**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,  
EXAMINATIONS

  
Mary C. Mulrean, Acting Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

identification data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**DISCUSSION:** The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), to serve as an assistant minister. The director denied the petition determining that the petitioner had failed to establish the beneficiary's two years of continuous religious work experience.

On appeal, counsel argues that the beneficiary is eligible for the benefit sought.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States--

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

At issue in the director's decision is whether the petitioner has established that the beneficiary had two years of continuous work experience in the proffered position.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

All three types of religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The petition was filed on December 7, 1998. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary had been continuously working in the prospective occupation for at least the two years from December 7, 1996 to December 7, 1998.

In a letter dated November 20, 1998, the petitioner stated that the beneficiary "has done quite an impressive religious work as an assistant minister for [REDACTED] Church from February 20, 1994 to January 24, 1998. Since January 26, 1998, [he] has faithfully served for our church as an assistant minister for youth group." In a "Certificate of Employment" dated March 26, 1998, the president of The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Korea indicated that the beneficiary worked as a minister at the [REDACTED] Church from February 20, 1994 to the date of the certificate.

On April 28, 1999, the director requested that the petitioner submit evidence of the beneficiary's work experience during the two-year period prior to filing. In response, the petitioner reiterated that it had employed the beneficiary since January 26, 1998. The petitioner submitted a photocopy of the beneficiary's 1998 income tax return which indicated that he earned \$7,500.00 that year. This return is not supported by any documentary evidence (such as a Form W-2) and there is no evidence that it was ever filed with the Internal Revenue Service.

On appeal, counsel argues that "the beneficiary has been continuously employed in a full-time traditional religious occupation for two years immediately prior to the filing of this petition." The petitioner submits an "Employment & Details of Salary Payment" statement from the [REDACTED] Church in Korea. According to this statement, the beneficiary was paid an annual salary of \$13,500.00 in 1996 and \$15,000.00 in 1997.

In order to qualify for special immigrant classification in a religious occupation, the job offer for a lay employee of a religious organization must show that he or she will be employed in the conventional sense of full-time salaried employment. See

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(4). Therefore, the prior work experience must have been full-time salaried employment in order to qualify as well. The absence of specific statutory language requiring that the two years of work experience be conventional full-time paid employment does not imply, in the case of religious occupations, that any form of intermittent, part-time, or volunteer activity constitutes continuous work experience in such an occupation. The petitioner and the beneficiary's church in Korea both claim to have paid the beneficiary a salary for his services; however, neither entity has provided any documentary evidence (such as pay stubs, cancelled pay checks, or tax documents) of this purported remuneration. Simply going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. See Matter of Treasure Craft of California, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972). Further, the petitioner indicated that the beneficiary had been a full-time employee since January 26, 1998; however, the beneficiary's income tax return for 1998 indicated that he earned only \$7,500.00 that year. It is incumbent upon the petitioner to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence. Matter of Ho, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The petitioner has not established that the beneficiary was continuously engaged in a religious occupation from December 7, 1996 to December 7, 1998. The objection of the director has not been overcome on appeal. Accordingly, the petition may not be approved.

Beyond the decision of the director, the petitioner has failed to establish that the prospective occupation is a religious occupation as defined at 8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(2). As the appeal will be dismissed on the ground discussed, this issue need not be examined further.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.