

PUBLIC COPY

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] SRC 01 156 52200

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUL 23 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Elizabeth Bonie
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center initially approved the immigrant visa petition. On July 15, 2003 the director notified the petitioner of her intent to revoke approval of the petition, and subsequently exercised her discretion to revoke approval of the immigrant visa petition on September 8, 2003. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file an appeal of a revocation, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 205.2(d) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 15 days after service of the notice of revocation. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 18 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on September 8, 2003. It is noted that the director improperly gave notice to the petitioner that its Notice of Appeal must be filed within 33 days of the Notice of Revocation. The director's improper notice of appeal rights does not extend the regulatory requirement that the appeal of a revocation be filed within 15 days. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) received the Notice of Appeal on October 14, 2003, or 36 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

The beneficiary of the petition also filed an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485) that was denied by the director on September 8, 2003. There is no right of appeal of the denial of an application for adjustment of status.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.