



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

Administrative data deleted

Administrative data deleted

Administrative data deleted

Handwritten mark resembling a large 'C' or '9' with a vertical line through it.

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUN 16 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Robert P. Wiemann*  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) summarily dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reconsider or reopen. The motion will be dismissed.

The petitioner submitted a letter of support from Father Richard Estrada, Executive Director of Jovenes, Inc., described as a non-profit organization offering emergency assistance to at-risk youth. This letter is deemed a motion to reopen or reconsider the AAO's previous decision.

The motion is untimely. Under the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i), a motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b) states that whenever a person is required to act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. The AAO issued its decision on June 20, 2003. The petitioner's motion to reopen was received by the service center on August 7, 2003, 48 days after the AAO issued its decision. The motion was therefore filed untimely.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a) provides that the agency may, in its discretion, accept a motion beyond this time frame if the petitioner demonstrates that the delay was reasonable and beyond his or her control. The petitioner provides no evidence that the delay in filing his motion to reopen was reasonable and beyond his control.

**ORDER:** The motion is dismissed.