




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Administrative Appeals Office
www.uscis.gov



CS

FILE: [Redacted] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: JUN 16 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]


PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as an associate pastor. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary was qualified for the religious worker position of associate pastor within the religious organization. The director also determined that the petitioner had not established that it had the ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered salary.

The petitioner timely filed a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit, stating that the reason for the appeal was to submit additional evidence of the beneficiary's qualifications for the position. The petitioner indicated on the Form I-290B that it needed 90 days in which to submit a brief and/or additional evidence. As of the date of this decision, more than 11 months after the appeal was filed, no further documentation has been received by the AAO. Therefore, the record will be considered complete as presently constituted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.