

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

C1

FILE:

[REDACTED]  
SRC 06 159 53138

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: DEC 08 2008

IN RE:

Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*John F. Grissom*  
John F. Grissom, Acting Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The Form I-360 petition was signed by [REDACTED], Senior Pastor of the petitioning church. The appeal was signed by [REDACTED]. The record contains two Form G-28 Notices of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative for [REDACTED] however, both are signed by the beneficiary. The record does not contain a Form G-28 signed by the petitioner consenting to representation. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii) states, in pertinent part:

(B) *Meaning of affected party.* For purposes of this section and sections 103.4 and 103.5 of this part, *affected party* (in addition to the Service) means the person or entity with legal standing in a proceeding. It does not include the beneficiary of a visa petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v) states:

*Improperly filed appeal -- (A) Appeal filed by person or entity not entitled to file it -- (1) Rejection without refund of filing fee.* An appeal filed by a person or entity not entitled to file it must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded.

The appeal has not been filed by the petitioner, nor by any entity with legal standing in the proceeding, but rather by the beneficiary. Therefore, the appeal has not been properly filed, and must be rejected.

Even if the appeal had been properly filed by the petitioner it would be summarily dismissed. On appeal, [REDACTED] merely stated that he would submit a brief and/or evidence to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) within 30 days.

[REDACTED] dated the appeal September 1, 2007. As of this date, more than 15 months later, the AAO has received nothing further.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

[REDACTED] here has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. He has not even expressed disagreement with the director's decision. Had the appeal been properly filed by the petitioner, the appeal would be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.