

**PUBLIC COPY**

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
BCIS, AAO, 20 MASS, 3/F  
Washington, D.C. 20536



**AUG 21 2003**

File:



Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), in order to employ him as a music director and administrative assistant to the pastor at an annual salary of \$20,000.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary had been performing full-time salaried work as a religious worker for the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

On appeal, the petitioner asserts that the beneficiary is a full-time employee for arguably inadequate compensation, and that the petitioner's former inability to pay the full promised compensation does not negate the beneficiary's status in a full-time religious occupation.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States--

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year

period described in clause (i).

The petitioner is described as a church that is part of the local congregations known as the Christian Church (Disciples of Christ), and that is supervised by the Hisportic Christian Mission, a "non-profit musical and church planting missionary society." The beneficiary is a native and citizen of Brazil who last entered the United States in an undisclosed manner on an unspecified date.

In order to establish eligibility for classification as a special immigrant religious worker, the petitioner must satisfy several eligibility requirements.

The issue to be addressed in this proceeding is whether the beneficiary had been continuously carrying on a religious occupation for the two years preceding the filing of the petition.

8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

All three types of religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The petition was filed on May 2, 2001. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary was continuously carrying on a religious occupation or vocation since at least May 2, 1999.

The petitioner initially submitted a copy of an employment contract, signed on March 1, 1998, indicating that the petitioner was to pay the beneficiary \$20,000 annually as Director of the Music Department.

In response to a request for additional evidence, the petitioner submitted uncertified copies of the beneficiary's 1999, 2000, and 2001 federal income tax returns. The documentation submitted reflects that the beneficiary's gross earnings from his work as a church musician were \$6,450 in 1999, \$12,570 in 2000, and \$17,450 in 2001.

The director noted that the Bureau interprets the two-year experience provision to require full-time work, which is defined as thirty-five to forty hours per week. The director determined that the evidence presented did not establish that the beneficiary was a full-time religious worker for the two-year period from May 1999 to May 2001 and denied the petition accordingly.

On appeal, the petitioner explains:

The beneficiary without question is a full time employee in a religious vocation. [The petitioner] gave him a contract for some \$20,000.00 per annum [sic] his true

worth is much, much more to [the petitioner's] family. The contracted salary and what was actually received as evidenced by the filed income tax returns would be supportive of an action on the contract. However to conclude that the beneficiary applicant did not work full time is erroneous. The church is entirely funded by the Tithes and Offerings of its membership. These contributions totally dictate the ability of [the petitioner] to pay the costs associated with its ministry. The fact that the beneficiary received less than [sic] the amount contracted for initially and remained with the ministry demonstrates his commitment and religious calling to [the petitioner] and its members.

The legislative history of the religious worker provision of the Immigration Act of 1990 states that a substantial amount of case law had developed on religious organizations and occupations, the implication being that Congress intended that this body of case law be employed in implementing the provision. See H.R. Rep. No. 101-723, at 75 (1990).

The statute states at section 101(a)(27)(C)(iii) that the religious worker must have been carrying on the religious vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for the immediately preceding two years. Under former Schedule A (prior to the Immigration Act of 1990), a person seeking entry to perform duties for a religious organization was required to be engaged "principally" in such duties. "Principally" was defined as more than 50 percent of the person's working time. Under prior law a minister of religion was required to demonstrate that he/she had been "continuously" carrying on the vocation of minister for the two years immediately preceding the time of application. The term "continuously" was interpreted to mean that one did not take up any other occupation or vocation. *Matter of B*, 3 I&N Dec. 162 (CO 1948).

Later decisions on religious workers conclude that, if the worker is to receive no salary for church work, the assumption is that he/she would be required to earn a living by obtaining other employment. *Matter of Bisulca*, 10 I&N Dec. 712 (Reg. Comm. 1963); *Matter of Sinha*, 10 I&N Dec. 758 (Reg. Comm. 1963).

The term "continuously" also is discussed in a 1980 decision where the Board of Immigration Appeals determined that a minister of religion was not continuously carrying on the vocation of minister when he was a full-time student who was devoting only nine hours a week to religious duties. *Matter of Varughese*, 17 I&N Dec. 399 (BIA 1980).

In line with these past decisions and the intent of Congress, it is clear that to be continuously carrying on the religious work means to do so on a full-time basis. That the qualifying work

should be paid employment, not volunteering, is inherent in those past decisions which hold that, if the religious worker is not paid, the assumption is that he/she is engaged in other, secular employment. The idea that a religious undertaking would be unsalaried is applicable only to those in a religious vocation who in accordance with their vocation live in a clearly unsalaried environment, the primary examples in the regulations being nuns, monks, and religious brothers and sisters. Clearly, therefore, the qualifying two years of religious work must be full-time and salaried. To be otherwise would be outside the intent of Congress.

In review, the petitioner has failed to overcome the director's objection to approving the petition. Based on the information and documentation contained in the record, the petitioner has failed to sufficiently establish that the beneficiary was a full-time salaried employee for the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition. According to the petitioner, its employment contract with the beneficiary was not fulfilled; the beneficiary's income was dependent upon tithes and offerings received by the petitioner; and the beneficiary performed much of his work on a voluntary basis without compensation.

Beyond the decision of the director, the petitioner has failed to establish that it has the ability to pay the beneficiary the beneficiary the proffered wage since the date of filing the petition. Since the appeal will be dismissed for the reasons stated above, this issue need not be examined further.

Further, while the determination of an individual's status or duties within a religious organization is not under the Bureau's purview, the determination as to the individual's qualifications to receive benefits under the immigration laws of the United States rests with the Bureau. Authority over the latter determination lies not with any ecclesiastical body but with the secular authorities of the United States. *Matter of Hall*, 18 I&N Dec. 203 (BIA 1982); *Matter of Rhee*, 16 I&N Dec. 607 (BIA 1978).

The petitioner bears the burden to establish eligibility for the benefit sought. In reviewing an immigrant visa petition, the Bureau must consider the extent of documentation and the credibility of that documentation as a whole. The petitioner bears the burden of proof in an employment-based visa petition to establish that it will employ the alien in the manner stated. See *Matter of Izdebska*, 12 I&N Dec. 54 (Reg. Comm. 1966); *Matter of Semerjian*, 11 I&N Dec. 751 (Reg. Comm. 1966).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner has not met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.