

01

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE

425 Eye Street, N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536

PUBLIC COPY



JUL 03 2003

JUL 03

File: WAC 01 218 50546 Office: California Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER



**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

for
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Acting Director, California Service Center, and the matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4). The precise title of the proffered position is unclear. In an undated letter contained in the record, the petitioner indicates that it requires the beneficiary's services as a general director of Christian education; in a letter dated January 3, 2002, the petitioner indicates that it requires the beneficiary's services as a missionary. The salary of the proffered position is indicated to be \$1,200 monthly.

In a decision dated February 6, 2002, the acting center director denied the petition. Specifically, the acting center director noted that the petitioner had failed to establish that the beneficiary had been engaged in a qualifying religious occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing date of the petition, and that it is a bona fide tax exempt organization.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner asserts that the Bureau erred in denying the petition and that the evidence submitted establishes that the beneficiary was employed by the church and received compensation for the work he performed in the form of room, board, and transportation. Counsel further asserts that the petitioner submitted sufficient documentation to establish that it qualifies as a tax-exempt organization.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States--

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before October 1, 2003, in order to work

for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The petitioner states that it is a unique Christian organization, a single church, composed of 450 members that assists the community through outreach; programs such as family, youth, marriage, drug and gang counseling; and visitation services for prison inmates.

The beneficiary, a 25-year-old native and citizen of Mexico, last entered the United States on January 22, 1994, in an unknown manner. It is indicated that the beneficiary has worked in the United States without permission performing religious services for the petitioner. The record contains no evidence that the beneficiary was paid any wages by the petitioning organization during the two years immediately preceding the filing date of the petition. Further, the record gives no indication that the work performed by the beneficiary was anything other than voluntary in exchange for room, board, and transportation. In addition, the record contains no evidence to demonstrate how the beneficiary supported himself since his entry into the United States.

The issue to be examined in this proceeding is whether the petitioner has established that the beneficiary has had the requisite two years of continuous work experience in the proffered position.

Regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(1) state, in pertinent part, that:

All three types of religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The petition was filed on April 24, 2001. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary has been continuously engaged in a religious occupation for the two-year period beginning on April 24, 1999.

The statute and its implementing regulations require that a beneficiary has been continuously carrying on the religious occupation specified in the petition for the two years preceding the filing date of the petition. The regulations are silent on the

question of volunteer work satisfying the requirement. The pertinent regulations were drafted in recognition of the special circumstances of some religious workers, specifically those engaged in a religious vocation, in that they may not be salaried in the conventional sense and may not follow a conventional work schedule.

The regulations distinguish religious vocations from lay religious occupations. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(2) defines a religious vocation, in part, as a calling to religious life evidenced by the taking of vows. While such persons are not employed *per se* in the conventional sense of salaried employment, they are fully financially supported and maintained by their religious institution and are answerable to that institution.

The regulation defines lay religious occupations, in contrast, in general terms as an activity related to a "traditional religious function." *Id.* Such lay persons are employed in the conventional sense of salaried employment. The regulations recognize this distinction by requiring that in order to qualify for special immigrant classification in a religious occupation, the job offer for a lay employee of a religious organization must show that he or she will be employed in the conventional sense of salaried employment and will not be dependent on supplemental employment. See 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(4). Because the statute requires two years of continuous experience in the same position for which special immigrant classification is sought, the Bureau interprets its own regulations to require that, in cases of lay persons seeking to engage in a religious occupation, the prior experience must have been full-time salaried employment in order to qualify as well.

Furthermore, in evaluating a claim of prior work experience, the Bureau must distinguish between common participation in the religious life of a denomination and engaging continuously in a religious occupation. It is traditional in many religious organizations for members to volunteer a great deal of their time serving on committees, visiting the sick, serving in the choir, teaching children's religion classes, and assisting the ordained ministry without being considered to be carrying on a religious occupation.

It is not reasonable to assume that the petitioning religious organization, or any employer, could place the same responsibilities, the same control of time, and the same delegation of duties on an unpaid volunteer as it could on a salaried employee. Nor is there any means for the Bureau to verify a claim of past "volunteer work" similar to verifying a claim of past employment. For all these reasons, the Bureau holds that lay persons who perform volunteer activities, especially while also engaged in a secular occupation, are not engaged in a religious occupation and that the voluntary activities do not constitute qualifying work experience for the purpose of an employment-based special immigrant visa petition.

In this case, the petitioner asserts that the beneficiary has served its ministry since 1996, for an unspecified number of hours per week, as a counselor and visitor of prison inmates. The petitioner indicates that since April 1999, it has compensated the beneficiary for his services in the form of room, board, and transportation. For the reasons discussed above, such service does not constitute evidence of continuous experience in a religious occupation. Therefore, the Bureau is unable to conclude that the beneficiary has been engaged in a religious occupation during the two-year qualifying period. For this reason, the petition may not be approved.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m) (3) (i) states, in pertinent part:

(3) *Initial evidence.* Unless otherwise specified, each petition for a religious worker must be accompanied by:

(i) Evidence that the organization qualifies as a nonprofit organization in the form of either:

(A) Documentation showing that it is exempt from taxation in accordance with § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as it relates to religious organizations (in appropriate cases, evidence of the organization's assets and methods of operation and the organization's papers of incorporation under applicable state law may be requested); or

(B) Such documentation as is required by the Internal Revenue Service to establish eligibility for exemption under § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as it relates to religious organization....

To meet the requirements of 8 C.F.R. 204.5(m) (3) (i) (A), a copy of a letter of recognition of tax exemption issued by the Internal Revenue Service is required. In the alternative, to meet the requirements of 8 C.F.R. 204.5(m) (3) (i) (B), a petitioner may submit such documentation as is required by the Internal Revenue Service to establish eligibility for exemption under § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as it relates to religious organizations. This documentation includes, at a minimum, a completed Internal Revenue Service Form 1023, the Schedule A supplement which applies to churches, and a copy of the organizing instrument of the church which contains a proper dissolution clause and which specifies the purposes of the organization.

The record, as it is presently constituted, contains insufficient to establish that the petitioner is exempt from taxation in accordance with § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Thus, the petition also must be denied for this reason.

It is further noted that the petitioner has failed to provide sufficient evidence of its ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered wage. However, since the appeal will be dismissed for the reasons cited above, this issue need not be discussed further at this time.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.