


PUBLIC COPY

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clear and unwaranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

C/

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536



JUL 16 2003
Date:


File: WAC-01-218-52006 Office: California Service Center

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



Petition: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Myra L. Rosen
for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as untimely filed.

The petitioner is a religious association affiliated with the Church of God. It seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), in order to employ him as a minister.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(2)(i), an affected party has 30 days after service of a decision to file an appeal with the office that made the unfavorable decision. The record reflects that the director's decision of February 22, 2002, was sent to the petitioner at its address of record. The appeal was received by the Bureau 39 days later on April 2, 2002. The appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 103.3 (a) (2) (v) (B) (1) states that an appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. 8 C.F.R. 103.2 (a) (2) (v) (B) (2), however, states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in 8 C.F.R. 103.5 (a) (2) or a motion to reconsider as described in 8 C.F.R. 103.5 (a) (3), the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(2) states, in pertinent part, that a motion to reopen must state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(3) states, in pertinent part:

A motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decision to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy. A motion to reconsider a decision on an application or petition must, when filed, also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision.

8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(4) states, in pertinent part, that a motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed.

On appeal, counsel argues that the Bureau erred in denying the petition in that the Bureau cannot deny the petition because the beneficiary did not work when, in fact, the beneficiary was not eligible for work authorization as a B-2 visitor. The record reflects that, at the time the petition was filed, the beneficiary

was in unlawful status. Further, counsel does not state any new facts, nor does he state reasons for reconsideration that are supported by any pertinent precedent decisions establishing that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Bureau policy. For these reasons, the appeal will not be treated as a motion to reopen or reconsider.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.