


U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

C1

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE  
425 Eye Street N.W.  
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F  
Washington, D.C. 20536



File: EAC 01 178 50965 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: SEP 10 2006

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



Petition: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a lay preacher. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had the requisite two years of continuous work experience as a lay preacher immediately preceding the filing date of the petition.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part, “[a]n officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.”

On the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, filed on October 1, 2002, counsel indicated that a brief would be forthcoming within 120 days. Counsel indicated that this extension would be necessary because of counsel’s heavy workload. To date, over ten months later, careful review of the record reveals no subsequent submission; all other documentation in the record predates the issuance of the notice of decision.

Counsel asserts that a brief is forthcoming, and asserts that oral argument may be necessary after delivery of the brief, because of questions that may arise. Counsel briefly acknowledges the stated grounds for denial, but offers no rebuttal to those grounds. Counsel states only that a future submission will address those grounds.

Counsel’s statement on appeal contains no specific allegation of error. The bare assertion that the petitioner will ultimately address the grounds for denial, using unidentified evidence, is not sufficient basis for a substantive appeal. The record as it is now constituted contains no indication that the petitioner has submitted a timely brief or substantive appeal.

Inasmuch as counsel has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the regulations mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.