



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: AUG 25 2004

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The petition is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a minister. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been engaged continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the petition or that the petitioner had the ability to pay the proffered salary.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief and additional documentation.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States--

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before October 1, 2008, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before October 1, 2008, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

(ii) A letter from an authorized official of the religious organization in the United States which (as applicable to the particular alien) establishes:

(A) That, immediately prior to the filing of the petition, the alien has the required two years of membership in the denomination and the required two years of experience in the religious vocation, professional religious work, or other religious work.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(1) echoes the above statutory language, and states, in pertinent part, that "[a]n alien, or any person in behalf of the alien, may file a Form I-360 visa petition for classification under section 203(b)(4) of the Act as a section 101(a)(27)(C) special immigrant religious worker. Such a petition may be filed

by or for an alien, who (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition has been a member of a religious denomination which has a bona fide nonprofit religious organization in the United States." The regulation indicates that the "religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition."

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(3) states, in pertinent part, that each petition for a religious worker must be accompanied by:

(ii) A letter from an authorized official of the religious organization in the United States which (as applicable to the particular alien) establishes:

(A) That, immediately prior to the filing of the petition, the alien has the required two years of membership in the denomination and the required two years of experience in the religious vocation, professional religious work, or other religious work.

The petition was filed on November 16, 2001. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary was continuously working as a pastor throughout the two-year period immediately preceding that date.

The petitioner stated that the beneficiary last entered the United States as a visitor in July of 2001, and began serving in the church as a minister before becoming employed by the petitioner in November 2001. In response to the director's request for evidence (RFE) dated March 12, 2003, the petitioner submitted a statement from [REDACTED] office manager of the New Testament Church of God in Trinidad, West Indies. [REDACTED] states that the beneficiary was employed with the Church of God in Montrose, Trinidad from October 2000 through July 2001 at a monthly salary of \$2,500. He also stated that the beneficiary worked at the Church of God in Arima, Trinidad from December 1994 through September 2000 at a salary of \$500 per month. [REDACTED] did not state the basis of his knowledge or identify the source of the information contained in his statement, and the petitioner submitted no evidence to corroborate the facts contained in the statement.

The legislative history of the religious worker provision of the Immigration Act of 1990 states that a substantial amount of case law had developed on religious organizations and occupations, the implication being that Congress intended that this body of case law be employed in implementing the provision, with the addition of "a number of safeguards . . . to prevent abuse." See H.R. Rep. No. 101-723, at 75 (1990).

The statute states at section 101(a)(27)(C)(iii) that the religious worker must have been carrying on the religious vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for the immediately preceding two years. Under former Schedule A (prior to the Immigration Act of 1990), a person seeking entry to perform duties for a religious organization was required to be engaged "principally" in such duties. "Principally" was defined as more than 50 percent of the person's working time. Under prior law a minister of religion was required to demonstrate that he/she had been "continuously" carrying on the vocation of minister for the two years immediately preceding the time of application. The term "continuously" was interpreted to mean that one did not take up any other occupation or vocation. *Matter of B*, 3 I&N Dec. 162 (CO 1948).

Later decisions on religious workers conclude that, if the worker is to receive no salary for church work, the assumption is that he/she would be required to earn a living by obtaining other employment. *Matter of Bisulca*, 10 I&N Dec. 712 (Reg. Comm. 1963) and *Matter of Sinha*, 10 I&N Dec. 758 (Reg. Comm. 1963).

The term "continuously" also is discussed in a 1980 decision where the Board of Immigration Appeals determined that a minister of religion was not continuously carrying on the vocation of minister when he was a full-time student who was devoting only nine hours a week to religious duties. *Matter of Varughese*, 17 I&N Dec. 399 (BIA 1980).

In line with these past decisions and the intent of Congress, it is clear, therefore that to be continuously carrying on the religious work means to do so on a full-time basis. That the qualifying work should be paid employment, not volunteering, is inherent in those past decisions which hold that, if the religious worker is not paid, the assumption is that he/she is engaged in other, secular employment. The idea that a religious undertaking would be unsalaried is applicable only to those in a religious vocation who in accordance with their vocation live in a clearly unsalaried environment, the primary examples in the regulations being nuns, monks, and religious brothers and sisters. Clearly, therefore, the qualifying two years of religious work must be full-time and generally salaried. To hold otherwise would be contrary to the intent of Congress.

On appeal, counsel submits a statement signed by [REDACTED] who states that the beneficiary served as a full time minister with the New Testament Church of God Arima from December 1994 through September 2000, working 45 hours per week with a salary of \$500 per week. The evidence does not establish the identity of Mr. Walkins, although his name appears as one of two signatures on copies of six checks made payable to the beneficiary in the amount of \$500.00 Trinidad dollars. These checks were written in August, September and October 2000.

Counsel also submits a statement from [REDACTED] administrative assistant at the New Testament Church of God in Montrose [REDACTED] states that the beneficiary served as full time minister at the church from October 2000 to July 2001, working 45 hours weekly and earning a salary of \$2,500 Trinidad dollars per week. The petitioner submits copies of three checks made payable to the beneficiary from the church in the amount of \$1000 for March, April and May 2001. [REDACTED] states that the beneficiary received a \$1,500 monthly cash honorarium, but the petitioner provides no evidence of such a payment.

The evidence submitted by the petitioner is conflicting as it shows the beneficiary received a \$500 weekly salary check from the New Testament Church of God Arima on October 24, 2000, when his employment there allegedly ended in September of that year. It is incumbent upon the petitioner to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence. Any attempt to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies will not suffice unless the petitioner submits competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth lies. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582, 591-92 (BIA 1988).

Further, the evidence submitted by the petitioner is inadequate to establish that the beneficiary received compensation for his services in 1999 and most of 2000 or that he was worked full time and continuously as a minister during the relevant two year period. Both [REDACTED] state that the beneficiary worked 45 hours per week and provided a list of his duties. However, neither explains the basis of his or her

knowledge and no contemporaneous evidence in the record substantiates their assertions. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972).

The petitioner also fails to provide evidence of the beneficiary's employment from July 2001 to November 2001. The petitioner has not established that the beneficiary was continuously employed as a minister from for two full years immediately preceding the filing date of the petition.

A petitioner must also demonstrate its ability to pay the proffered wage. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(g)(2), states in pertinent part:

Ability of prospective employer to pay wage. Any petition filed by or for an employment-based immigrant which requires an offer of employment must be accompanied by evidence that the prospective United States employer has the ability to pay the proffered wage. The petitioner must demonstrate this ability at the time the priority date is established and continuing until the beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence. Evidence of this ability shall be either in the form of copies of annual reports, federal tax returns, or audited financial statements.

The petitioner proposes to pay the beneficiary \$450 per week. The petitioner submitted a partial copy of its February 2003 bank checking account statement, showing that it had an ending balance of over \$12,000 but also indicating that it had at least two checks that were not paid due to insufficient funds. The petitioner also submitted evidence that it has over \$200,000 in a certificate of deposit and over \$12,000 in a money market savings account.

On appeal, the petitioner submitted copies of Form 941, Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return, for 2001, 2002 and the first two quarters of 2003. The Forms 941 indicate they are for the Church of God Kindergarten. The record does not establish that these returns are inclusive of all salaries paid by the petitioner. Further, although they reflect that the petitioner paid several hundred thousands of dollars in salary, it is not evidence of the petitioner's ability to pay the beneficiary's salary.

The petitioner also submitted a copy of its July 2003 financial statement with a copy of an accountant's compilation report. As the compilation is based primarily on representations of management, the preparer expressed no opinion as to whether they present fairly the financial position of the petitioner for that year. In light of this, limited reliance can be placed on the validity of the facts presented in the financial statements that have been submitted. No further supporting documentation is included in the record to reflect the assertions made by the accountant in the financial documentation, or contained within the unaudited financial statements.

The above-cited regulation states that evidence of ability to pay "shall be" in the form of tax returns, audited financial statements, or annual reports. The petitioner is free to submit other kinds of documentation, but only in addition to, rather than in place of, the types of documentation required by the regulation. In this instance, the petitioner has not submitted any of the required types of evidence.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.



Page 6

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.