



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

21

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted]  
SRC 01 120,52027

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: OCT 17 2005

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:

[Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Texas Service Center, initially approved the employment-based immigrant visa petition. Upon further review, the director determined that the petition had been approved in error. The director properly served the petitioner with a notice of intent to revoke, and subsequently revoked the approval of the petition. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be granted. The AAO's decision will be withdrawn, and the petition will be approved.

The petitioner is a constituent church of the [REDACTED] It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a member of the Sea Organization (Sea Org), a religious order of the [REDACTED]. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary's position qualifies as either a religious occupation or a religious vocation, or that the beneficiary had the requisite two years of continuous work experience immediately preceding the filing date of the petition. The director also found that the petitioner had not established its ability to compensate the beneficiary. The AAO withdrew the director's findings regarding the petitioner's ability to pay the beneficiary. The AAO also found that the beneficiary now practices a religious vocation, but that he did not practice that vocation continuously throughout the two-year qualifying period.

Section 205 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1155, states: "The Secretary of Homeland Security may, at any time, for what he deems to be good and sufficient cause, revoke the approval of any petition approved by him under section 204."

Regarding the revocation on notice of an immigrant petition under section 205 of the Act, the Board of Immigration Appeals has stated:

In *Matter of Estime*, . . . this Board stated that a notice of intention to revoke a visa petition is properly issued for "good and sufficient cause" where the evidence of record at the time the notice is issued, if unexplained and un rebutted, would warrant a denial of the visa petition based upon the petitioner's failure to meet his burden of proof. The decision to revoke will be sustained where the evidence of record at the time the decision is rendered, including any evidence or explanation submitted by the petitioner in rebuttal to the notice of intention to revoke, would warrant such denial.

*Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582, 590 (BIA 1988) (citing *Matter of Estime*, 19 I&N 450 (BIA 1987)).

By itself, the director's realization that a petition was incorrectly approved is good and sufficient cause for the issuance of a notice of intent to revoke an immigrant petition. *Matter of Ho*. The approval of a visa petition vests no rights in the beneficiary of the petition, as approval of a visa petition is but a preliminary step in the visa application process. The beneficiary is not, by mere approval of the petition, entitled to an immigrant visa. *Id.* at 582.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States--

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before October 1, 2008, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before October 1, 2008, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The AAO has already determined that the beneficiary practices a religious vocation through his active membership in the Sea Org, and therefore we need not revisit that issue here. In contention at this point is the question of when the beneficiary became a Sea Org member. To qualify under the present petition, the beneficiary must have been a full member of that order since at least two years prior to the petition's March 6, 2001 filing date, as required by section 101(a)(27)(C)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)(iii), and 8 C.F.R. §§ 204.5(m)(1) and (3)(ii)(A).

On motion, the petitioner submits materials concerning the various steps required to join the Sea Org, such as completion of the Estates Project Force (EPF) and review by a Fitness Board. From materials made available to us, we have concluded that an individual who has successfully passed review by the Fitness Board can be considered a member of the Sea Org (as opposed to a recruit, who is not a full member). Therefore, the petitioner can establish that the beneficiary possesses the relevant experience by submitting church records showing that the beneficiary passed the Fitness Board at least two years before March 6, 2001 and continuously engaged in the vocation of a Sea Org member during that critical period.

The petitioner had previously submitted copies of payroll records, showing the beneficiary's continuous activity during the two-year qualifying period. On motion, the petitioner submits copies of several documents relating to the beneficiary's Sea Org training and initiation. A Fitness Certificate shows that the beneficiary was approved by the Fitness Board on August 13, 1997, well before the two-year qualifying period began in 1999. Neither the director nor the AAO had previously specifically requested these particular documents, and therefore we can accept them on motion. (If the documents had been requested previously, but not submitted, then the petitioner would need to explain its dereliction in failing to provide them prior to the motion.)

Pursuant to the above discussion, the petitioner has overcome the stated grounds for revocation, and the AAO sees no readily apparent obstacle to the approval of the petition. The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has met that burden. Accordingly, the previous decision of the AAO will be withdrawn, and the petition will be approved.

**ORDER:** The AAO's decision of September 13, 2004 is withdrawn, and the petition is approved.