

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

C i



FILE: WAC 07 057 51542 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: APR 08 2008

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Nonimmigrant Petition for Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(R)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(R)(1)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

When the director denied the petition, the director provided instructions on how to appeal the decision to the AAO. This language was included in error, because, as the director had earlier noted, the regulations do not provide for appeals of R-1 denials. The director's erroneous inclusion of appeal instructions in the denial notice does not supersede the AAO's regulatory lack of appellate authority regarding R-1 nonimmigrant religious worker petitions.

Because there is no provision to allow the petitioner to appeal the director's decision, the appeal cannot be accepted, and therefore must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.