


**PUBLIC COPY**

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20529



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**




**FILE:** SRC 03 005 52260 **Office:** TEXAS SERVICE CENTER **Date:** JUL 07 2004

**IN RE:** Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

**PETITION:** Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

**ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:**



**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Texas Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates the following facts. The director issued the decision on July 11, 2003, by a letter which was properly addressed to the petitioner and which gave the petitioner proper notice that it had 33 days to file the appeal. Counsel filed a Form I-290B, the only document filed on appeal in this proceeding. Although dated August 8, 2003, this Form I-290B was late on the date when first received by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), which was August 25, 2003, or 45 days after the decision was issued. Because the form had not been signed, CIS could not accept it, and, accordingly, returned it to counsel with a rejection notice that informed counsel of the signature requirement. CIS next received the Form I-290B on September 11, 2003, or 62 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the service center director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

As the appeal was untimely filed, it must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.