

Identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure or unwanted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



71


FILE: EAC 04 146 52827 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: AUG 31 2005

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a specialty food importer. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a marketing and logistics analyst, and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The director determined that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the petition was denied.

On appeal, counsel states that a brief would be filed supporting the appeal and requested 60 days for the filing of the brief. To date, no brief has been filed and the record is deemed complete. As the basis of the appeal, counsel states that the "Service improperly applied standards of 'nature, size and scope' of business when denying [the] petition . . ." The petitioner did not, however, specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact upon which the appeal is based. The appellant must do more than simply ask for an appeal and state that the decision appealed from is incorrect. It must clearly demonstrate the basis for the appeal. This, the appellant has failed to do. As such, the appeal must be dismissed.

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.