



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data related to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY




DI

FILE: WAC 02 282 50428 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **SEP 06 2005**

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a travel agency that seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation and the beneficiary is not qualified to perform a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

The AAO will first address the director's conclusion that the position is not a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term "degree" in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B and supporting documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner is seeking the beneficiary's services as an accountant. Evidence of the beneficiary's duties includes: the I-129 petition; the petitioner's September 5, 2002 letter in support of the petition; and the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence. In the September 5, 2002 letter, the petitioner's president describes the proposed duties as follows:

Due to the volume of accounts that we maintain, I am in urgent need of an accountant to organize and maintain our accounts with our customers. . . .

This individual will prepare the quarterly and yearly tax reports, payroll statements and deductions, monthly profit and loss reports and financial statements. She will direct the implementation of a general accounting system for keeping accounts and records of disbursements, expenses, tax payments and general ledgers. [She] will prepare balance sheets and provide tax advise [sic]. She will perform audits and prepare reports.

In his April 1, 2004 response to the director's request for further evidence, counsel expanded the beneficiary's duties, adding items such as: "budget analysis" and "evaluating business decisions." The petitioner indicated that a qualified candidate for the job would possess a bachelor's degree in accounting or an equivalent thereof.

The director found that the proffered position was not a specialty occupation because the job is not an accountant position; it is a bookkeeper or accounting/auditing clerk position. Citing to the Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, 2004-2005 edition, the director noted that the minimum requirement for entry into the position was not a baccalaureate degree or its equivalent in a specific specialty. The director found further that the petitioner failed to establish any of the criteria found at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the proffered position is that of an accountant, and is not a bookkeeper or accounting/auditing clerk position. Counsel states further that the proposed duties, which include preparing and analyzing financial documents, advising on tax management, and monitoring the petitioner's assets and liabilities, are the complex duties of an accountant. Counsel also states that the petitioner's organizational chart shows that the petitioner has the following accounting-related positions: a chief accountant, a financial analyst, a budget analyst, and an accountant.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has established none of the four criteria outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Therefore, the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The AAO turns first to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree.

Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the *Handbook* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." *See Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999)(quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. CIS looks beyond the title of the position and determines, from a review of the duties of the position and any supporting evidence, whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate degree in a specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act. The AAO does not concur with counsel that the proffered position is that of an accountant. The *Handbook*, 2004-2005 edition, indicates that management accountants are usually part of executive teams involved in strategic planning or new-product development. Public accountants are generally self-employed or work for accounting firms. In this case, information on the petition indicates that the petitioner is a travel agency with eight employees and a gross annual income of \$41,701,537. The petitioner's organizational chart submitted in response to the director's request for evidence reflects the following positions: president/owner; manager; chief accountant/bookkeeping; two accountants; financial analyst; budget analyst; operations manager; sales manager; HRD; systems analyst; statistician; and network systems analyst. Upon review of this chart, it is not clear how the petitioner could realistically function as a travel agency without any travel agent positions. It is presumed that at least some of the petitioner's employees perform as travel agents. Accordingly, the petitioner's organizational hierarchy is unclear. Furthermore, in his April 1, 2004 letter, counsel states that the beneficiary would be assigned budget analysis duties, even though the petitioner's organizational chart reflects a separate budget analyst position. On appeal, counsel does not assign budget analysis duties to the beneficiary. The record contains no explanation for these inconsistencies. It is incumbent upon the petitioner to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence. Any attempt to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies will not suffice unless the petitioner submits competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth lies. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582, 591-92 (BIA 1988). Doubt cast on any aspect of the petitioner's proof may, of course, lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the visa petition. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582, 591 (BIA 1988). A review of the Bookkeeping, Accounting, and Auditing Clerks job description in the *Handbook* confirms the accuracy of the director's assessment to the effect that, the job duties parallel those responsibilities of a bookkeeper or accounting clerk. No evidence in the *Handbook* indicates that a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, is required for these jobs.

The record does not include any evidence regarding parallel positions in the petitioner's industry. The record also does not include any evidence from professional associations regarding an industry standard, or documentation to support the complexity or uniqueness of the proffered position. The petitioner, therefore, has not established the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) or (2).

The AAO now turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. In his April 1, 2004 letter, counsel states that both of the petitioner's current accountants hold a bachelor's degree in accounting. The record, however, does not contain any evidence of the petitioner's past hiring practices and therefore, the petitioner has not met its burden of proof in this regard. Without documentary evidence to support the claim, the assertions of counsel will not satisfy the petitioner's burden of proof. The unsupported assertions of counsel do not constitute evidence. *Matter of Obaigbena*, 19 I&N Dec. 533, 534 (BIA 1988); *Matter of Laureano*, 19 I&N Dec. 1 (BIA 1983); *Matter of Ramirez-Sanchez*, 17 I&N Dec. 503, 506 (BIA 1980).

Finally, the AAO turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4) – the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

To the extent that they are depicted in the record, the duties do not appear so specialized and complex as to require the highly specialized knowledge associated with a baccalaureate or higher degree, or its equivalent, in a specific specialty. Therefore, the evidence does not establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

As related in the discussion above, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The director also found that the beneficiary was not qualified for the proffered position because the beneficiary's education and job experience were not equivalent to a baccalaureate degree in a related specialty. On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the record contains two academic evaluations that demonstrate that the beneficiary holds the equivalent of a U.S. bachelor's degree in accounting. As discussed above, no evidence in the *Handbook* indicates that a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, is required for bookkeeper/financial clerk positions. Most financial clerks are required to have at least a high school diploma. In this case, the beneficiary holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Foreign Service conferred by a Filipino institution. An evaluator from a company that specializes in evaluating academic credentials concludes that the beneficiary possesses the equivalent of a U.S. bachelor's degree in international relations. A review of the beneficiary's academic transcripts reflects completion of courses in business math and elementary statistics. An employment letter also indicates that the beneficiary has bookkeeping-related experience. As such, the petitioner has demonstrated that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of the proffered position. The petition may not be approved, however, because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

Beyond the decision of the director, the AAO does not find that the petitioner complied with the terms of the labor condition application, which reflects that the proffered position is part-time, as opposed to information on the petition indicating the position is full-time. For this additional reason, the petition may not be approved.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.