




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

81

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE: WAC 04 188 52905 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: MAR 08 2006

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director of the California Service Center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a restaurant and caterer, with six employees. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition because he determined the position was not a specialty occupation.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) counsel's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B, with counsel's letter. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The issue before the AAO is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. To meet its burden of proof in this regard, the petitioner must establish that the job it is offering to the beneficiary meets the following statutory and regulatory requirements.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

An occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the above criteria to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

To determine whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS does not simply rely on a position’s title. The specific duties of the proffered position, combined with the nature of the petitioning entity’s business operations, are factors to be considered. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F. 3d 384 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position nor an employer’s self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation, as required by the Act.

The petitioner seeks the beneficiary’s services as an accountant. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes: the Form I-129; the petitioner’s letter in support of the petition; and counsel’s August 18, 2004 response to the director’s request for evidence. As stated in response to the director’s request for evidence, the proffered position would require the beneficiary to:

- Develop a data processing system and controls to safeguard management assets;
- Prepare, analyze and interpret the financial information or data needed by management to make decisions;
- Be responsible for determining the petitioner’s tax liability, as well as compliance with other governmental requirements;
- Prepare annual financial reports and other documents, including balance sheets, profit and loss statements, and fund flow and cash flow statements;
- Provide summaries of the petitioner’s current and projected financial positions; and
- Be involved with management in the preparation of the annual budget and in determining whether management has functioned within the budget, including whether management has accomplished stated goals through its revenue producing activities, and cost and asset management.

To make its determination whether the employment just described qualifies as a specialty occupation, the AAO turns to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; and a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. Factors considered by the AAO when determining these criteria include: whether the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, on which the AAO routinely relies for the educational requirements of particular

occupations, reports the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

In his denial, the director found the duties described by the petitioner to reflect many of those performed by accountants, but determined that the petitioner did not have the type of business or the organizational complexity and scale to require the services of a full- or part-time accountant. While, as discussed below, the AAO does not find the record to demonstrate that the petitioner would employ the beneficiary as an accountant, it has reached its conclusions on grounds other than those relied upon by the director.

The AAO finds the director to have erred in concluding that the petitioner does not have the organizational complexity, nor operate the type of business that would require an accountant. The 2006-2007 edition of the *Handbook* indicates that accountants work throughout private industry and government, helping to ensure that the "Nation's firms are run efficiently, its public records kept accurately, and its taxes paid properly and on time."<sup>1</sup> It does not indicate that the accountants are employed solely by public accounting, payroll services, and tax preparation firms; computer accounting systems, software developers, government agencies or academic institutions, as stated by the director. Accordingly, the petitioner's need for an accountant may not be discounted based on its type of business. Neither does the fact that the petitioner does not employ an accounting/bookkeeping staff establish that it would not employ the beneficiary to perform the duties of an accountant. Therefore, the AAO withdraws the director's findings in this regard.

The petitioner has stated that the proffered position is that of an accountant. To determine whether the duties of the proffered position support the petitioner's characterization of its employment, the AAO turns to the 2006-2007 edition of the *Handbook* for its discussion of management accountants, the category of accounting most closely aligned to the duties described by the petitioner. As stated by the *Handbook*, management accountants:

[r]ecord and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. Among their other responsibilities are budgeting, performance evaluation, cost management, and asset management . . . . They analyze and interpret the financial information that corporate executives need in order to make sound business decisions. They also prepare financial reports for other groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Within accounting departments, management accountants may work in various areas, including financial analysis, planning and budgeting, and cost accounting.<sup>2</sup>

The AAO finds the above discussion to be generally reflected in the petitioner's description of the duties of the proffered position and agrees that the petitioner's employment would require the beneficiary to have an understanding of accounting principles. However, not all accounting employment is performed by degreed

---

<sup>1</sup> *Occupational Outlook Handbook*, 2006-2007 Edition, at [www.bls.gov/oco/ocos001.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oco/ocos001.htm).

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*

accountants. Therefore, the performance of duties requiring accounting knowledge does not establish that the proffered position would impose a degree requirement on the beneficiary. The question is not whether the petitioner's position requires a knowledge of accounting principles, which it does, but rather whether it is one that normally requires the level of accounting knowledge that is signified by at least a bachelor's degree, or its equivalent, in accounting.

The *Handbook's* discussion of the occupation of accountants clearly indicates that accounting positions may be filled by individuals holding associate degrees or certificates, or who have acquired their accounting expertise through experience:

Capable accountants and auditors may advance rapidly; those having inadequate academic preparation may be assigned routine jobs and find promotion difficult. Many graduates of junior colleges or business or correspondence schools, as well as bookkeepers and accounting clerks who meet the education and experience requirements set by their employers, can obtain junior accounting positions and advance to positions with more responsibilities by demonstrating their accounting skills on the job.

It also notes in its description of the work performed by bookkeeping, accounting and auditing clerks that:

Demand for full-charge bookkeepers is expected to increase, because they are called upon to do much of the work of accountants, as well as perform a wider variety of financial transactions, from payroll to billing. Those with several years of accounting or bookkeeper certification will have the best job prospects.<sup>3</sup>

Further proof of the range of academic backgrounds that may prepare an individual for accounting employment is provided by the credentialing practices of the American Council for Accountancy and Taxation (ACAT), an independent accrediting and monitoring organization affiliated with the National Society of Accountants. The ACAT does not require a degree in accounting or a related specialty to issue a credential as an Accredited Business Accountant® /Accredited Business Advisor® (ABA). Eligibility for the eight-hour comprehensive examination for the ABA credential requires only three years of "verifiable experience in accounting, taxation, financial services, or other fields requiring a practical and theoretical knowledge of the subject matter covered on the ACAT Comprehensive Examination." Up to two of the required years of work experience may be satisfied through college credit.<sup>4</sup>

To determine whether the accounting knowledge required by the proffered position rises above that which may be acquired through experience or an associate's degree,<sup>5</sup> the AAO turns to the record for

---

<sup>3</sup> *Occupational Outlook Handbook*, 2006-2007 Edition, at [www.bls.gov/oco/ocos144.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oco/ocos144.htm).

<sup>4</sup> Information provided by the ACAT website (<http://www.acatcredentials.org/index.html>). The *Handbook* identifies the ACAT website as one of several "Sources of Additional Information" at the end of its discussion of the occupation of accountants.

<sup>5</sup> According to the website for Skyline College, a community college located in San Mateo, CA ([www.skylinecollege.net](http://www.skylinecollege.net)), an associate's degree in business or accounting would involve learning the fundamentals about financial accounting principles and concepts, balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements, the GAAP, forecasting, budgeting, cost accounting, break even analysis, developing and

information regarding the nature of the petitioner's business operations. In cases where a petitioner's business is relatively small, like that in the instant case, the AAO reviews the record for evidence that its operations, are, nevertheless, of sufficient scope and/or complexity to indicate that it would employ the beneficiary in an accounting position requiring a level of financial knowledge that may be obtained only through a baccalaureate degree in accounting or its equivalent.

At the time of filing, the petitioner indicated that the constant increase in the volume of its business required it to hire additional staff, including an accountant, in order to ensure the successful operation of its business. Accordingly, the AAO has reviewed the record for evidence of the petitioner's growing business, as well as the financial requirements of that business, to determine whether the accounting employment described by the petitioner would impose a degree requirement on the beneficiary.

The record, however, does not support the petitioner's claims regarding the growth of its business. It contains no evidence – tax records, audits, sales projections, loan agreements or business plans – that documents a pattern of growth in the petitioner's revenues or business operations. Going on record without supporting documentation is not sufficient to meet the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm. 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972)). Accordingly, the petitioner has not established that it is experiencing the growth for which it states it requires the beneficiary's services.

The record also fails to offer evidence of the specific financial requirements associated with the petitioner's growing restaurant and catering business, including whether its restaurant and catering operations have separate accounting systems or unique financial requirements that would add complexity to the beneficiary's accounting duties. Neither does it indicate that the petitioner is currently required to manage outstanding business loans or other debt, or to deal with complex rental/lease agreements for restaurant equipment or space that might complicate its financial situation. Therefore, the petitioner has not demonstrated that its business, despite its relatively limited income, has the complexity of financial operations to require a degree in accounting.

Accordingly, the duties of the proffered position are not established as those of a degreed accountant. Instead, they appear more closely aligned to accounting responsibilities that may be performed by junior accountants, employment that does not impose a baccalaureate degree requirement on those seeking entry-level employment. As a result, the petitioner has not established the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the first criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(A) – a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position.

To establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the second criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(A), a petitioner must prove that a specific degree requirement is common to its industry in

---

operating a computerized accounting system. Thus, an associate's degree would provide knowledge about the GAAP and accounting techniques that serve the needs of management and facilitate decision-making.

parallel positions among similar organizations or that the proffered position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. In the instant case, the petitioner has submitted no evidence that responds to either prong of the criterion. Therefore, the proffered position may not be established as a specialty occupation based on an industry-wide degree requirement or its complex and unique nature.

To determine whether a proffered position may be qualified as a specialty occupation under the third criterion – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position – the AAO usually reviews the petitioner’s past employment practices, as well as the histories, including names and dates of employment, of those employees with degrees who previously held the position, and copies of those employees’ diplomas. However, in the instant case, counsel has indicated that the proffered position is newly created. Accordingly, the petitioner has no employment history with regard to the proffered position and cannot establish it as a specialty occupation on the basis of its normal hiring practices.

The fourth criterion requires a petitioner to establish that the nature of the specific duties of its position is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. The AAO, however, finds, however, no evidence in the record to indicate that the beneficiary’s duties would require greater knowledge or skill than that normally possessed by junior accountants. Neither does the position, as described, represent a combination of jobs that would require the beneficiary to have a unique set of skills beyond those of a junior accountant. As a result, the record also fails to establish that the proffered position meets the specialized and complex threshold at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

Beyond the decision of the director, the AAO finds the beneficiary’s degree equivalency in business administration to be insufficient to establish her as qualified to perform the duties of a degreed accountant. A degree in business administration alone does not qualify the holder to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. CIS does not recognize a generalized business degree that does not have a specific concentration in marketing, finance or some other specific area of business as a degree required to perform a specialty occupation. *See Matter of Ling*, 13 I&N Dec. 35 (Reg. Comm. 1968). As the evaluation provided by Educational Evaluators International, Inc. does not indicate that the beneficiary’s coursework provides her with a concentration in a field of business administration that relates directly to the occupation of accountant, the record does not establish that she holds a foreign degree that is the equivalent of a U.S. baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(2). For this reason as well, the petition will be denied.

For reasons related in the preceding discussion, the petitioner has failed to establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director’s denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.