

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

D,




FILE: WAC 07 130 51776 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **MAY 02 2008**

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director of the service center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a golf practice center that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a part-time accountant. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition because the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for evidence (RFE); (3) the petitioner's response to the RFE; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B, with counsel's brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before reaching its decision.

The issue before the AAO is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. To meet its burden of proof in this regard, the petitioner must establish that the job it is offering to the beneficiary meets the following statutory and regulatory requirements.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

An occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;

- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) consistently interprets the term “degree” in the above criteria to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

To determine whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS does not simply rely on a position’s title. The specific duties of the proffered position, combined with the nature of the petitioning entity’s business operations, are factors to be considered. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F. 3d 384 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position nor an employer’s self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation, as required by the Act.

The petitioner seeks the beneficiary’s services as a part-time accountant. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes: counsel’s March 29, 2007 letter in support of the petition and counsel’s undated response to the RFE. As stated by counsel, the proposed duties and time allotments are as follows:

- Daily cash management reporting, 6 hours (30%);
- Accounts receivable/accounts payable, 5 hours (25%);
- Weekly, monthly and quarterly regulatory financial statements, 3.5 hours (18%);
- Payroll accounting and benefits, 2.5 hours (13%);
- Financial planning/forecasting/budgeting/inventory, 2.25 hours (11%); and
- Analysis of business trends and variances, .75 hour (4%).

The director found that the proffered position is primarily that of a clerical bookkeeping job and does not require a bachelor’s degree. Citing the Department of Labor’s (DOL) *Occupational Outlook Handbook*

(*Handbook*), the director noted that the minimum requirement for entry into the position was not a baccalaureate degree or its equivalent in a specific specialty. The director concluded that the petitioner failed to establish any of the criteria found at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the proffered position is that of a part-time, in-house junior accountant, not a bookkeeper. As supporting documentation, counsel submits a letter from the petitioner, a letter from a certified public accountant (CPA), industry magazines, and a detailed Jonas Software brochure.

Upon review of the record, the petitioner has established none of the four criteria outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Therefore, the proffered position is not a specialty occupation.

The AAO turns first to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations; or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. Factors often considered by CIS when determining these criteria include: whether the *Handbook* reports that the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999)(quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

The AAO routinely consults the *Handbook* for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations. The 2006-07 edition of the *Handbook* indicates that accountants work throughout private industry and government, helping to ensure that the "Nation's firms are run efficiently, its public records kept accurately, and its taxes paid properly and on time." Counsel stated on appeal that the proffered position is that of a junior accountant and offered a general description of the position that lists duties typically performed by accountants. As discussed by the DOL, management accountants, the category of accounting most closely aligned to the duties described by the petitioner, are individuals who:

[r]ecord and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. Among their other responsibilities are budgeting, performance evaluation, cost management, and asset management . . . . They analyze and interpret the financial information that corporate executives need in order to make sound business decisions. They also prepare financial reports for other groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Within accounting departments, management accountants may work in various areas, including financial analysis, planning and budgeting, and cost accounting.

The AAO acknowledges that part of the above discussion is reflected in the petitioner's general description of the proffered position. The AAO agrees that the petitioner's employment of the beneficiary would require the beneficiary to have an understanding of accounting principles. However, degreed accountants do not perform all types of employment that require the use of accounting principles. Thus, the performance of duties requiring accounting knowledge does not establish the proffered position as that of an accountant. The

question is not whether the petitioner's position requires knowledge of accounting principles, which it does, but rather whether it is one that normally requires the level of accounting knowledge that is signified by at least a bachelor's degree, or its equivalent, in accounting.

A review of the *Handbook* finds that not all accounting employment is performed by degreed accountants. Its discussion of the occupation of accountants clearly indicates that accounting positions may be filled by individuals holding associate degrees or certificates, or who have acquired their accounting expertise through experience:

Capable accountants and auditors may advance rapidly; those having inadequate academic preparation may be assigned routine jobs and find promotion difficult. Many graduates of junior colleges or business or correspondence schools, as well as bookkeepers and accounting clerks who meet the education and experience requirements set by their employers, can obtain junior accounting positions and advance to positions with more responsibilities by demonstrating their accounting skills on the job.

Further proof of the range of academic backgrounds that may prepare an individual for accounting employment is provided by the credentialing practices of the Accreditation Council for Accountancy and Taxation (ACAT), an independent accrediting and monitoring organization affiliated with the National Society of Accountants. The ACAT does not require a degree in accounting or a related specialty to issue a credential as an Accredited Business Accountant®/Accredited Business Advisor® (ABA). Eligibility for the eight-hour comprehensive examination for the ABA credential requires only three years of “verifiable experience in accounting, taxation, financial services, or other fields requiring a practical and theoretical knowledge of the subject matter covered on the ACAT Comprehensive Examination.” Up to two of the required years of work experience may be satisfied through college credit.<sup>1</sup>

The AAO acknowledges counsel's reference to the *O\*NET* and the assertion that the duties of the proffered position track the language the *O\*NET* describes as the duties of an accountant. The AAO again observes that the generally described duties of the proffered position suggest that the beneficiary would need an understanding of accounting principles. However, the petitioner has not described tasks that would require a level of accounting knowledge gained while attaining a bachelor's degree in the specific discipline of accounting. Moreover, the AAO does not consider the *O\*NET* to be a persuasive source of information as to whether a job requires the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree (or its equivalent) in a specific specialty. The *O\*NET* provides only general information regarding the tasks and work activities associated with a particular occupation, as well as the education, training, and experience required to perform the duties of that occupation. When discussing an occupational title such as accountant, the petitioner cannot repeat portions of the generalized descriptions found in the *O\*NET* or the *Handbook* as its description of the duties

---

<sup>1</sup> Information provided by the ACAT website (<http://www.acatcredentials.org/index.html>). The *Handbook* identifies the ACAT website as one of several “Sources of Additional Information” at the end of its discussion of the occupation of accountants.

of the proffered position. Such a generalized description is necessary when defining the range of duties that may be performed within an occupation, but cannot be relied upon by a petitioner when discussing the duties attached to specific employment. When establishing a position as a specialty occupation, a petitioner must describe the specific duties and responsibilities to be performed by a beneficiary in relation to its particular business interests.

To determine whether the accounting knowledge required by the proffered position rises above that which may be acquired through experience or an associate's degree in accounting,<sup>2</sup> the AAO turns to the record for information regarding the nature of the petitioner's business operations. While the size of a petitioner's business is normally not a factor in determining the nature of a proffered position, both level of income and organizational structure are appropriately reviewed when a petitioner seeks to employ an H-1B worker as an accountant. Information on the petition reflects that the petitioner was established in 2002, has four employees and a gross annual income of \$108,491. On appeal, counsel states that, in addition to its four regular employees, the petitioner has 13 part-time and seasonal employees, and is "on track for income some 25% higher than previously noted." In matters where a petitioner's business is relatively small, like that in the instant matter, the AAO reviews the record for evidence that its operations, are, nevertheless, of sufficient scope and/or complexity to indicate that it would employ the beneficiary in an accounting position requiring a level of financial knowledge that may be obtained only through a baccalaureate degree in accounting or its equivalent. The petitioner, however, has not provided documentary evidence to demonstrate that the petitioner is a business with complex financial requirements. The record does not contain evidence, such as audits, loan agreements or business plans that document the petitioner's business operations as complex. The petitioner has not provided documentary evidence to establish that it has a complicated financial situation and thus that its business, despite its relatively limited income and small size, has the complexity of financial operations to require that the proffered position requires a degree in accounting. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm. 1998)(citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972)). The duties of the proffered position are not established as those of a degreed accountant. Instead, as conceded by counsel on appeal, they appear at most to be more closely aligned to accounting responsibilities that may be performed by junior accountants, employment that does not impose a baccalaureate degree requirement on those seeking entry-level employment. Accordingly, the petitioner has failed to establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the first criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) – a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position.

---

<sup>2</sup> According to the website for Skyline College, a community college located in San Mateo, CA ([www.skylinecollege.net](http://www.skylinecollege.net)), an associate's degree in business or accounting would involve learning the fundamentals about financial accounting principles and concepts, balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements, the GAAP, forecasting, budgeting, cost accounting, break even analysis, developing and operating a computerized accounting system. Thus, an associate's degree would provide knowledge about the GAAP and accounting techniques that serve the needs of management and facilitate decision-making.

On appeal, the petitioner's manager cites a publication by the Forecast Golf Group regarding the need for an accountant in the golf range industry. The petitioner's manager asserts that excerpts of this publication are included in the record. A review of the record in its entirety, however, does not find such information. Thus this issue will not be discussed further. The record also contains a letter from a CPA who concludes: [T]he requirements for a golf driving range accountant will entail much greater technical knowledge than is to be found in a normal bookkeeping position." The AAO does not dispute the CPA's conclusion. The CPA, however, does not assert that positions such as the proffered position require a related bachelor's degree, or provide any evidence that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation under any of the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(iii)(A).

A review of the record in its entirety finds that it does not include any evidence from firms, individuals, or professional associations regarding an industry standard. The record does not contain any job announcements, industry letters, or other documentary evidence that establishes that a degree requirement is common to the industry for parallel position among similar organizations or that such firms routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals.

In the alternative, the petitioner may show that the proffered position is so complex or unique that only an individual with a degree can perform the work associated with the position. In the instant petition, the petitioner has not submitted sufficient documentation to establish that the duties of the proffered position and their performance in the specific context of the petitioner's particular business operations constitute a position so complex or unique as to necessitate at least a bachelor's degree in a specific specialty. Rather the petitioner has provided a general description of the occupation without identifying any complex or unique tasks pertinent to the petitioner's business that would elevate the position to one that requires the knowledge associated with a bachelor's degree in a specific discipline. The petitioner has failed to establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation under either prong of the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2).

The AAO now turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3) – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. As the evidence of record indicates that the proffered position is a new position, the petitioner has not established this criterion. Moreover, although the petitioner may desire to employ an individual with a bachelor's degree in accounting or finance, it is not the petitioner's desire but rather the duties of the position that establish a position as a specialty occupation. The AAO observes that most companies desire to be well organized and to be fully compliant with state and federal tax reporting requirements. Such a desire does not establish a need for an accountant with a bachelor's or higher degree in accounting. The petitioner has not provided sufficient documentary evidence to establish that its internal position is the position of a degreed accountant. Instead, the position appears more closely aligned to accounting responsibilities that may be performed by junior accountants, employment that does not impose a baccalaureate degree requirement on those seeking entry-level employment.

Finally, the AAO turns to the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4) – the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

On appeal, the petitioner's manager states that the proffered position entails working with complex accounting software. Counsel also states that the duties of the petitioner's internal accountant are so complex as to require at least a bachelor's degree. The AAO does not agree that the proposed duties, including the operation of the petitioner's accounting software, are so complex as to require a bachelor's degree. The AAO finds no evidence in the record to indicate that the beneficiary's duties would require greater knowledge or skill than that normally possessed by junior accountants or bookkeepers. Neither does the position, as described, represent a combination of jobs that would require the beneficiary to have a unique set of skills beyond those of a junior accountant or a bookkeeper. The proposed duties are described in exclusively generalized terms. The duties are not described at a level of specificity that would establish the requirement for the application of specialized knowledge usually associated with at least a bachelor's degree in accounting or a closely related specialty. The petitioner has not provided evidence that its business operations involve intricate and complex financial transactions, specialized tasks related to tax records, or any other substantiating evidence that would elevate the general duties of the position to one that requires a degreed accountant. To the extent that they are depicted in the record, the duties do not appear so specialized and complex as to require the highly specialized knowledge usually associated with a baccalaureate or higher degree in a specific specialty. Therefore, the evidence does not establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

As related in the discussion above, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation or that the beneficiary is coming to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation as required by the statute at section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act; 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.