

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



DI


FILE: WAC 03 082 52285 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: AUG 31 2005

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The petitioner filed a subsequent appeal. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) determined that the appeal was not filed in a timely manner. The AAO rejected the appeal without rendering a decision. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reconsider. The motion will be rejected and remanded to the director.

The petitioner is a residential care facility that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a computer support specialist. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on February 2, 2004. On March 9, 2004, counsel for the petitioner filed an appeal seeking review of the director's decision. After reviewing the record, the AAO rejected the appeal as the appeal had not been filed in a timely manner. Any appeal that is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

The petitioner has now filed a motion seeking to reconsider the appeal that was rejected as untimely filed.

As the appeal was rejected by the AAO, there is no decision on the part of the AAO that may be reconsidered in this proceeding. According to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii), jurisdiction over a motion resides in the official who made the latest decision in the proceeding. The AAO did not enter a decision on this matter. Because the disputed decision was rendered by the director, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this motion and the motion must be rejected.

ORDER: The motion is rejected and remanded to the director for consideration.